

PalArch's Journal of Archaeology
of Egypt / Egyptology

**UTILITY AND SIGNIFICANCE OF NATIONAL LANGUAGE URDU AS
MEDIUM OF INSTRUCTION IN EDUCATION OF PAKISTAN**

Dr. Asia Khatoon¹, Dr. Ann Samson², Dr. Safia Niazi³, Abdul jabbar Dahri⁴

**¹Assistant professor Department of Education, Shaheed Benazir Bhutto University,
Shaheed Benazirabad.**

²Assistant professor Federal Urdu University, Abdul haq campus.

**³Assistant professor Department of Education, Benazir Bhutto Shaheed University,
Karachi.**

**⁴Assistant professor of Education jamia Millia government college of Education, Malir,
Karachi.**

**Dr. Asia Khatoon , Dr. Ann Samson , Dr. Safia Niazi , Abdul jabbar Dahri , Utility
And Significance Of National Language Urdu As Medium Of Instruction In
Education Of Pakistan , Palarch's Journal Of Archaeology Of Egypt/Egyptology
18(8), 4993-5003. ISSN 1567-214x.**

Keywords: Urdu, vehicle of guidance, English, Pakistan, training.

Abstract

The discussion of the vehicle of guidance in Pakistan is old and its foundations date back to the pre-Freedom Frontier period. Pakistan acquired an instructive construction from the English Domain which was later generally changed yet its specific fundamental highlights are set up to date. The expulsion of Urduand, in its stead, the consideration of English as a vehicle of

¹ Corresponding author: drasia@sbbusba.edu.pk

guidance is one such component which, by its own doing, is a very much perceived pilgrim relic. The utilization of English as a vehicle of guidance in Pakistan has its protectors just as doubters. Notwithstanding, what is urgently absent in this discussion, is the well-qualified assessment and the proof based specialized and impartial investigation of the current issue. Countless individuals who as a rule take part in this discussion will in general concoct unconfirmed cases and assessment based speculations. This paper plans to make a very much validated examination of the misfortune and gain of the mechanism of guidance, and examines the pertinence and worth of the main language (for our situation, Urdu) in the setting of the mode of guidance. At long last, the paper hopes to achieve an educated arrangement regarding the interaction, setting, goals, and results of the mechanism of guidance from the viewpoint of language teaching method and arranging.

Introduction

One of the raging issues in many non-industrial nations is the choice language of guidance to be utilized in the schooling framework albeit English is consistently acknowledged as the worldwide language of the world¹. The discussion is to acknowledge any vernacular or a most widely used language as the mechanism of instruction². Pakistan is a multilingual country. More than 70 dialects are spoken in the different spaces of Pakistan. Six native huge dialects exist for example Urdu, Sindhi, Sariaiki, Punjabi, Pashto, and Baluchi though public language Urdu is likewise the authority language yet the acquired frontier language is additionally the authority language since its freedom. Eisenchlas, Schalley and Guillemain while communicating the significance of first language as vehicle of guidance says that first language has been liked as mechanism of guidance in many created nations³. In any case, circumstance in Pakistan is extraordinary. The Siraiki, Brahui and Hindko are moreover used as a piece of different areas of the country. Mechanism of guidelines dispute in Pakistan has happened since autonomy⁴. In the midst of English lead, on the issue of vehicle of guidance, the choice elites were separated in two camps. Initial one was Orientalists, the allies of vernaculars while the second was Anglicists, who were the patron of English language to be used as mode of guidelines. The matter of language as the vehicle of guidelines is as yet unsure. Khursheed communicated his concern that the issue of the mode of guidelines in Pakistan has been raised in different social affairs of guidance and game plans of instructive arrangements⁵ from time to time for example from the fundamental custom on guidance in 1947 to the last instructive strategy in the year 2009. One of the variables credited to the terrible showing of understudies in Pakistan is the mode of guidance utilized at essential level. This investigation presents a short assessment of the language in training condition in Pakistan from its recorded perspective. Language technique issue in fundamental guidance in Pakistan has not been adequately tended to by the distinctive training commissions set up by different governments. Various commissions have been set up to research the issues being looked by understudies and instructors. Pakistan is a country with more than 70 living dialects and its organization is bewildered which language to pick as MOI (vehicle of rule) at fundamental/essential level for example Urdu which is the public language of Pakistan and an image of our trustworthiness or one of the neighborhood dialects on the grounds that having schooling in the native language is one of the essential common liberties. The arranged is more exasperated by the significance of English language. This paper investigates the MOI discussion in Pakistan. This conversation contains language debate from the pre-Pakistan time (1835-1947) till today in various political

Review of literature

In the beginning stage of Pakistan (1947–1971) the unmistakable compositions on language schooling strategy were from ethnic or Pakistani patriots who needed their dialects to be given the situation with public dialects and educated (references given in parts of the important dialects in Rahman 1996). The allies of Urdu, nonetheless, went against English since it was the language of tip top tutoring, advanced education and the best positions (Abdullah 1976). The originally point by point and insightful book regarding the matter of language governmental issues was by Rahman (1996). Its chief concern was about the utilization of language as an image of ethnic character and political preparation in English India and Pakistan. The book likewise explores the relationship of class and personality with the mode of guidance. Rahman additionally addressed language instruction arrangements and practices in an article on language arranging exercises by Pakistan's decision and counter-elites (ethnic patriots) (Rahman 1999: 235–295). His significant work on the historical backdrop of the learning of dialects among the Muslims of Pakistan and north India likewise associates language instruction with personality and class governmental issues (Rahman 2002). In a reference book article on language strategy in Pakistan, Rahman depicts the points of its language strategies as modernization, vernacularization, privatization and philosophical direction (Islamization being important for it) (2008: 383–392). In 1999 Kaiser Bengali, a financial analyst at that point working with a research organization on feasible advancement in Islamabad, portrayed the instruction policies from 1947 to 1998 in a concise structure (Bengali 1999). While this report is brief, Sabiha Mansoor portrays the job of English in higher

training in a book-length study (Mansoor 2005). The English Board in its different reports, apparently about the part of English in Pakistan, additionally depicted the job of dialects in Pakistan's schooling framework and recommended changes in the strategy (Coleman 2011; Coleman and Capstick 2012). A significant investigation of language in schooling strategy and practice is by Zubeida Mustafa who goes over similar ground as her archetypes and backers the utilization of the native language in instruction (Mustafa 2011). The most complete examination of language strategy, indeed for the most part alluding to training, is by Shahid Siddiqui, a researcher on schooling in Pakistan. The book dissects all instructive archives from 1947 to 2009 when the last training strategy was declared (Siddiqui 2016). Other than these significant works there are numerous compositions which address language strategy in schooling.

Critical discussion of earlier language policies:

The expressed objectives of the administrations of Pakistan have been modernization and public bury gratation. There is an implicit objective as well: safeguarding of world class advantage and benefit in admittance to incredible and rewarding work. English blessings the first and the third objectives while Urdu favors the subsequent one. The native dialects of individuals don't support either so they are given empty talk and passed by peacefully. A short unique of a portion of the significant archives on training and language strategy is given in Supplement 1. The substance of them is that from the main meeting on schooling in 1947 to the most recent report on instruction some fundamental parts of the language strategy in schooling stayed consistent. To begin with, English was supported in light of a legitimate concern for modernization, being the language of science and innovation. Besides, Urdu was defended in light of a legitimate concern for Pakistani patriotism since it gave solidarity notwithstanding fissiparous inclinations. Third, the perusing of

Arabic to peruse the Quran (with-out understanding the language) was advocated – however this was accentuated more from the finish of the 1960s onwards – to underline the Islamic character of Pakistanis but to isolate them from Indians and to stand up to ethnic personality. The reports continued demanding that the first language is the best mode of guidance for a kid yet, besides in East Pakistan (until 1971 when it became Bangladesh), Sindh and a few pieces of the North West Outskirts Region (present day Khyber Pakhtunkhwa or KP), no other primary language was utilized as vehicle of instruction. There were, nonetheless, endeavors to utilize the first languages as mechanisms of guidance in Balochistan and KP. Language activists got a bill passed by the Balochistan administrative gathering to make Balochi, Brahvi and Pashto the media of guidance in country schools (Fellow B 1990). For a very long time the test proceeded yet when the following government just corrected the bill to supplant 'obligatory' with 'discretionary' (GOB 1992), guardians decided on Urdu. Also, an undertaking called 'Essential Course book Pashto Interpretation Task' was dispatched in 1984 to present Pashto as a vehicle of guidance for Pashto-speaking youngsters (NWFP 1991). This also was deserted, however Pashto is as yet utilized around class 5 in certain spaces as in the past. Guardians were essentially reluctant to overburden their kids with another dialect particularly in light of the fact that the language didn't prompt work and, above all, favored youngsters in private and military schools were not considering it. In short, for most kids, since the majority of the populace came from the Punjab, most pieces of NWFP, Metropolitan Sindh, Balochistan, the Pakistan-regulated previous province of Jammu and Kashmir including Chitral, Gilgit and Baltistan, Urdu was the vehicle of guidance in school. This approach of Urduization didn't contact the tip top of influence and cash, nonetheless. Consequently, English-medium schools run by Christian evangelists kept on pulling in Pakistani world class guardians for their youngsters' schooling. English style tuition based schools, called government funded schools on the lines of Eton and Harrow, kept on working. Additionally, the state financed English-medium military schools (cadet universities) (Rahman 2002: 299–300).

There was challenge this arrangement of sponsoring first class English-medium schooling during the mid-1960s when Ayub Khan's schooling strategy was reported. A commission on exploring the fighting understudies' complaints, headed by Equity Hamood ur Rahman, reasoned that such schools "are expected to deliver some better kind of understudies who might be all the more appropriately focused and prepared for in the long run entering the guard administration of the country or filling higher managerial posts" (GOP 1966: 18). The training strategy of Yahya's administration said that there was "very nearly a standing like qualification between the individuals who feel calm in articulating their thoughts in English and the individuals who don't" however didn't really transform anything (GOP 1969: 14). The lone government which said that English-medium schools should utilize Urdu as the mode of guidance was the Zia ul Haq system (GOP 1979). Nonetheless, under tension from the world class, it turned around the choice (Rahman 1997b: 198). By the 1980s the working class filled in size and private business people made chains of tip top English-medium schools. As referenced prior, it isn't what is expressed in approaches however what occurs as a general rule which demonstrates what the genuine strategy is. Take, for example, the frequently rehashed guarantee that Urdu would supplant English as the language of instruction. This devotion was not changed over to strategy. To start with, the

enthusiasm displayed by certain colleges, like Karachi College in the mid 1950s, was debilitate (GOP 1957). Second, Urdu was permitted at last for expressions (for example sociologies and

humanities) however not for science, medication, designing and innovation. As such the language strategy, however framed in the language of mainstream interest and working with admittance to advanced education, really ghettoized the non-science understudies and trains since they bore the disgrace of being socially and mentally sub-par.

One more approach was to utilize Islam to counter nationality and recognize Pakistanis from Indians. This strategy in the long run made fundamentalism and hostility which the tip top didn't actually anticipate. From the 1970s each instruction strategy referenced Islam and the philosophy of Pakistan as vital elements of the training strategy. Z. A. Bhutto, either to expect the administration of the Muslim world or assuaging the strict resistance, submitted the state "to empower and work with the learning of Arabic language" (Article 31 (2) (a) in 'The Standards of Strategy' of the 1973 Constitution). The educating of Arabic was presented in numerous establishments. Afterward, General Zia ul Haq Islamized the schooling framework all the more altogether (GOP 1979: 48). In addition to other things, Arabic was made a necessary subject in 1982 from classes 6 to 8 in government schools (Malik 1996: 271). Albeit the non-public schools didn't need to comply with this request and, for sure, a portion of the government funded schools additionally couldn't make the plans to show the language, those which trained it needed to depend all the time on madrassa graduates (Rahman 2002: 110–111). These individuals carried their distraction with religion to the study hall and may have presented their understudies to revolutionary understandings of Islam.

Language in Education in Pakistan

The Constitution of Pakistan (1973) states that Urdu will be the public language of Pakistan while English will be utilized as the authority language till the time (assessed 15 years) that game plans can be made for it to be supplanted by Urdu. Nonetheless, the truth is that English, being the language of the military, common administrations and advanced education in Pakistan, is viewed as the language of individual and expert turn of events (Mahboob, 2002; Shamim, 2011). Regularly, English plays a door keeping part through being a necessary subject in selection tests for advanced education foundations just as those prompting the echelons of force like the common administrations of Pakistan. As referenced before, schools in Pakistan are separated into state Urdu-medium and private English-medium schools (Coleman, 2010; Khattak, 2014; Mustafa, 2015; Rehman, 2004). Waseem and Asadullah (2013) contend that Pakistan's shaky equal schooling frameworks, that is the general population and private area, are predominantly set apart by an obvious division in the mode of guidance and correspondence; the last framework being an image of the westernized, tip top and incredible segment of society with the favored vehicle of correspondence as English. This Urdu-English medium gap is additionally supported in advanced education organizations (HEIs). English is regularly utilized as the mode of guidance in private HEIs, while it is ordinarily utilized for training the science subjects just as high distinction subjects like global relations in sociologies and humanities in open area colleges. Interestingly, Urdu is the mode of guidance for a couple of low-distinction subjects inside expressions and humanities. The exceptionally high charge design of the tip top English medium schools and private colleges makes obstructions for youngsters from the center and lower classes to partake in the instructive chances offered by these organizations. Thus, non-tip top or low-expense English medium schools have mushroomed over the most recent twenty years or something like that (Harlech, Baig and Sajid, 2005; Manan, Dumanig and David, 2015; Rahman,

2004). In any case, their guidelines of instructing learning of English are generally problematic (Fareed, Jawed and Awan, 2018; Manan, David and Dumanig, 2016).

Identity and Linguistic Capital

As of late there has been a great deal of interest in character by and large and language and personality in the field of TESOL specifically. Hmm (2000) characterizes four sorts of personality the common or N-character which is nature-based, for example, being an indistinguishable twin; the institutional or I-character which is forced upon by organizations and institutional approaches and practices, for instance, being an educator at a college; the talk or D-character that is desultory based, for example, an individual being perceived as charming; and liking or A-character dependent on one's alliance with, for instance, an all around perceived gathering of individuals, for example, an encouraging group of people. As per Hmm (2000), every one of these four sorts of character are interrelated and are not discrete classes. Also, various cycles are grinding away for making, challenging, arranging and supporting these characters, for example, acquiring acknowledgment as a magnetic individual through credit (others attribute this personality) or accomplishment (effectively attempting to get this recognition). Within the field of TESOL, personality alludes to how individuals comprehend their relationship to the world including their comprehension of their opportunities for the future (Norton, 1997). Norton affirms that "Each time language students talk, they are not just trading data with their conversationalists; they are likewise continually sorting out and revamping a feeling of what their identity is and how they identify with the social world. They are, all in all, occupied with personality development and exchange" (Norton, 1997, p. 410). In this manner personality isn't static yet can be changed with an individual's changing social and monetary conditions. Pavlenko and Norton (2007) likewise place the idea of envisioned characters students' genuine and wanted future ways of life as a significant determinant of their interest into language learning. These characters can likewise be viewed as being impacted by what Bourdieu (1991) calls semantic capital, alluding to the etymological resources moved by people and went on through casual and formal organizations that decide the advancement they can make throughout everyday life. Phonetic capital can be viewed as a urgent part of the personalities of students in instructive organizations particularly with regards to Pakistan where the English and Urdu medium separation reaches out from families and casual connection into more conventional learning frameworks. Accordingly, there is a need to perceive how the personalities of students are built contrastingly dependent on the inconsistent gathering of phonetic capital during their school years and thusly in advanced education foundations, prompting development of certain understudies while putting others at an impeded position.48 Vol. 6 No. 1 (June 2019)

Methodology

This is an exploratory investigation utilizing the subjective technique. The exploration was led at an enormous public area college in Karachi, Pakistan. The college has a bilingual language strategy: English is the predominant language in science offices (and other high profile offices in sociologies like worldwide relations) while Urdu is fundamentally utilized in low profile offices like Social Work. The mass correspondence office; nonetheless, has two unmistakable streams: English and Urdu medium, in view of the mode of guidance utilized in the two streams/segments, yet in addition the future vocations desires of the students in English or Urdu news-casting and media. The exploration members were chosen utilizing purposive examining. They included

three students: Aneesa, an understudy from a world class private English-medium school (O/A levels track); Farina from a non-tip top private English-medium school (Matric/Halfway track); and Kamran from a Urdu-medium public-area school (Matric/Transitional track). In profundity subjective meetings were directed involving three sections as follows: Part I: Previous existence story-socio-social, social-chronicled record of learning English in school or potentially at home and community. Part II: Present-Current encounters of utilizing English with respect to institutional practices and related impression of character/self-concept. Part III: Future-Wants and yearnings to join genuine as well as envisioned networks; interest in learning English. The interviews were recorded and along these lines translated for examination. The questioner was capable in both English and Urdu and exchanged between the two dialects during the meetings, as required. The members were allowed to pick Urdu, English or a blend of the two dialects for responding to the inquiries. Following the meetings, a topical examination was directed of the information. Topical investigation is a strategy for information examination which is broadly utilized for breaking down subjective information, determined to distinguish "and dissecting designs" (Clarke and Braun, 2013, p. 121). The interaction of topical examination began with the specialists acquainting themselves with the information, proceeding onward to coding the information, which included naming information and discovering pertinence of parts of it to the exploration question. These codes were then evaluated for designs which prompted the arrangement of more extensive topics. These topics were then investigated comparable to one another, named lastly clarified with the assistance of important information as a lucid story.

Findings

This study was aimed at investigating the experiences of bi- and multilingual learners in the context of a higher education institution in Pakistan in relation to the Urdu-English medium divide. It also explored the consequences that this linguistic divide had for their self-perception and for their perceived current and future life chances and aspirations. Data revealed patterns of institutional discrimination based on language trickling down to the learners and influencing their identities and life chances in significant ways. These patterns will be discussed in detail in this section.

Conclusion

To finish up, Pakistan's language schooling strategy has consistently given empty talk to the native dialects of individuals yet has never incorporated this manner of speaking. The solitary special case for this overall guideline has been Sindhi which was educated in the territory of Sindh during the English time frame, and the Sindhi patriots have never permitted their language to be consigned to the degree of other native dialects. Pashto also might be viewed as a special case at the same time, since it is shown simply up to class 5 and that too in some fringe regions, it isn't actually the mode of guidance for most kids who are primary language speakers of it. This the truth is as a rule gradually changed by the endeavors of private language activists with the assistance of unfamiliar bodies. The state's language strategy is truly to save English for the tip top while Urdu is spread as the most widely used language of the country. This works with the tip top in financial portability while working as an obstruction for different understudies who can't manage the cost of English-medium instruction during school years. The scattering of Urdu serves the decision first class' country building project by apparently giving a unifying, durable image of the country. Be that as it may, protection from this undertaking really debilitates the

country by giving a wellspring of rubbing to the state's homogenizing policies. As for Islamization, again considered as an integrative, country building drive, it also has bombed the decision world class since Islam is progressively being deciphered in fundamentalist manners which consider the to be as Westernized and, subsequently, ailing in Islamic authenticity to run the show. Notwithstanding, having contributed this task with feeling, and being inside tangled itself, the decision world class gets itself weak to control the more extreme aftermath of this policy. To summarize, Pakistan's language training approaches have bombed individuals of Pakistan and are presently likewise bombing the drawn out interests of the tip top which has advanced them. TNFUK_26_RIHL_C026_docbook_indd.indd 372 .

Recommendation

The examination discoveries likewise show that the English-Urdu medium separation forestalls the less princely areas of society from acquiring capability in English-the etymological capital needed for social and monetary advancement in Pakistan (Shamim, 2011; Mahboob, 2002). This has a few ramifications for future language strategies and related practices just as for the by and large financial and cultural advancement in Pakistan. Barely any proposals proposed are:

- There ought to be one arrangement of instruction with comparable offices and openings for learning through the native language (or Urdu) in early years followed by learning of English in ensuing years (Coleman, 2010).
- Instructors need to assume a more extensive part in fostering students' self-idea, which may then prompt students making a more noteworthy interest in learning both English and Urdu.
- The focal point of ELT in Pakistan ought to be broadened from improving instructional method (issues of syntax, construction and style) to more extensive issues of language strategy and rehearses and their effect on students' self-idea, accomplishment and more significant their interest in language learning.
- English language professionals need to draw in with strategy creators on more extensive issues in instruction, for example, educating of proficiency abilities in both Urdu and English for adaptability of across local/nearby dialects.

References

1. Ahmed, N. (2016). An investigation of Urdu medium understudies' impression of the English and Urdu dialects in Pakistan (M.A ELT exposition). Foundation of Schooling, College of London, UK.
2. Ayre, J. (2012). Social and semantic capital, government sanctioned tests and the propagation of instructive disparities (Doctoral exposition). College of English Columbia, Canada.
3. Bashir, S., and Batool, F. (2017). English as mode of guidance in Punjab: The 2009 examination. Lahore Diary of Strategy Studies, 7(1), 13-45.

4. Bourdieu, P. (1991). *Language and representative force*. Cambridge: Country Press. Bourdieu, P. and Passeron, J. C. (1977) *Generation in schooling, society and culture*. Beverly Slopes: Sage.
5. Clarke, V., and Braun, V. (2013). Showing topical examination: Defeating difficulties and creating methodologies for successful learning. *The Analyst*, 26(2), 120-123.
6. Coleman, H. (2010). *Instructing and learning in Pakistan: The job of language in training*. Islamabad: English Board.
7. Simons, G. F. and Charles D. (2018). *Ethnologue: Dialects of the world*. Recovered
8. from <http://www.ethnologue.com> Fareed, M., Jawed, S., and Awan, S. (2018). Showing English language at SSC level in private non-world class schools in Pakistan: Practices and issues. *Diary of Schooling and Instructive Turn of events*, 5(1), 80-95.
9. Hmm, J. P. (2000). Way of life as an insightful focal point for research in instruction. *Audit of Exploration in Training*, 25(1), 99-125. Government of Pakistan, (2009). *Public Training Strategy*. Islamabad: Service of Schooling.
10. Administration of Pakistan, (1973). *The constitution of Pakistan*. Recovered from http://www.na.gov.pk/transfers/reports/1333523681_951.pdf.
11. Harlech-Jones, B., Baig, M., and Sajid, S. (2005). Private tutoring in the northern spaces of Pakistan: A time of fast extension. *Worldwide Diary of Instructive Turn of events*, 25(5), 557-568.
12. Jalal, Z. (2004). *Language strategy in Pakistan*. In S. Mansoor (Ed.), *Language strategy, arranging, and practice: A South Asian point of view* (pp. 23-26). Karachi. Oxford college Press.
13. Jafri, I. H., Zai, S. Y., Arain, A. A., and Soomro, K. A. (2013). English foundation as the indicators for understudies' talking abilities in Pakistan. *Diary of Training and Practice*, 4(20), 30-35.
14. Khattak, S. G. (2014). A near investigation of the first class English-medium schools, state Urdu-medium schools, and Dini-madaris in Pakistan. *Global Diary of Multidisciplinary Similar Investigations*, 1(1), 92-107.
15. Mahboob, A. (2002). No English, no future: Language strategy in Pakistan. In S. Obeng and B. Hartford (Eds.), *Political freedom with etymological bondage: The legislative issues about dialects in the creating scene* (pp. 15–40). New York: NOVA Science.
16. Manan, S. A., David, M. K., and Dumanig, F. P. (2016). English language instructing in Pakistan: Language arrangements, daydreams and arrangements. In *English language schooling strategy in Asia* (pp. 219-244). New York: Springer
17. Manan, S. A., Dumanig, F. P., and David, M. K. (2015). *The English-medium fever in Pakistan: Examining strategy, insights and practices through added substance*

- bi/multilingual schooling focal point. *Global Diary of Bilingual Training and Bilingualism*, 20(6), 736-752.
- 18.1 (June 2019)Manan, S. A., and David, M. K. (2014). Planning environment of proficiencies in instructive setting: The instance of nearby primary languages opposite Urdu and English dialects in Pakistan. *Language and Training*, 28(3), 203-222.
 19. Mansoor, S. (2005). *Language arranging in advanced education: A contextual analysis*. Karachi: Oxford College Press.
 20. Mustafa, Z. (2015). *The oppression of language in schooling: The issue and its answer*. Karachi: Oxford College Press.
 21. Peirce, B. N. (1995). Social character, venture, and language learning. *TESOL Quarterly*, 29(1), 9-31. Norton, B. (1997). Language, personality, and the responsibility for. *TESOL Quarterly*, 31(3), 409-429.
 22. Norton, B. (2013). Personality, proficiency, and the multilingual homeroom. *The multilingual turn* (pp. 113-132). New York: Routledge.
 23. Pavlenko, A. B. (2001). Arrangement of characters in multilingual settings. *Global Diary of Bilingualism*, 5(3), 243-257.
 24. Pavlenko, A., and Norton, B. (2007). Envisioned people group, personality, and English language learning. *Global handbook of English language educating* (pp. 669-680). Boston: Springer.
 25. Rahman, T. (1999). *Language, instruction and culture*. Karachi: Oxford College Press. Rahman, T. (2006). *Language strategy, multilingualism and language imperativeness in Pakistan*.
 26. *Lesser-Known Dialects of South Asia Status and Arrangements, Contextual analyses*
 27. furthermore, *Uses of Data Innovation Patterns in Etymology. Studies and Monographs*, 175, 71-84. doi:10.1515/9783110197785.1.73
 28. Rahman, T. (2004). *Inhabitants of outsider universes: An investigation of training, imbalance and polarization in Pakistan*. Karachi: Oxford College Press.
 29. Shamim, F. (2008). Patterns, issues and difficulties in English language training in Pakistan. *Asia Pacific Diary of Training*, 28(3), 235-249.
 30. Shamim, F. (2011). English as the language for advancement in Pakistan: Issues, difficulties and potential arrangements (pp. 2-20). In H. Coleman, (Ed.), *Dreams and real factors: English being developed*. London: The English Board.
 31. Vaish, V., and Tan, T. K. (2008). *Language and social class: Etymological capital in Singapore*. Recovered from <http://hdl.handle.net/10497/3339> Warwick, P. and Reimers, F. (2005). *Expectation or gloom? Learning in Pakistan's elementary schools*. Westport: Praeger.

32. Waseem, F., and Asadullah, S. (2013). Phonetic control and basic language mindfulness. *Procedia-Social and Conduct Sciences*, 70, 799-820.