

PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt / Egyptology

CREATION OF MAN, IN THE LIGHT OF QURAN AND SCIENCE

Hafiz Muhammad Azhar Usama¹, Naima Hassan², Hamid Rasool³, Maqsood Ahmad⁴, Tanweer Khawar⁵

¹Lecturer, Department of Islamic Studies, the University of Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan,

²Assistant Professor Department of Psychology Virtual University of Pakistan, Lahore, Pakistan,

³Ph.D. Scholar Department of Islamic Studies, University of Okara, Okara, Pakistan,

⁴Associate lecturer, Islamic Studies, Islamia University of the Bahawalpur Bahawalnagr Campus, Pakistan,

⁵Associate lecturer, Islamic Studies, Islamia University of the Bahawalpur, Bahawalnagr Campus.

E.mail: 1muhammad.azhar@ais.uol.edu.pk, 2naima.hassan@vu.edu.pk

3hafizhamid666@gmail.com 4maqsoodpk40@gmail.com 5mtanweer716@gmail.com

Hafiz Muhammad Azhar Usama, Naima Hassan, Hamid Rasool, Maqsood Ahmad, Tanweer Khawar. Creation Of Man, In The Light Of Quran And Science-- Palarch's Journal Of Archaeology Of Egypt/Egyptology 18(17), 635-648. ISSN 1567-214x

Keywords: Perspective, Creation, Man, Quran, Science

ABSTRACT

The main theme and aim of this research article is to highlight the creation of man in the light of Qur'an and science. A review of literature has shown that man always wants to understand and research the mysteries of nature. How and when creation began and its purpose in this world. This curious man sought help from civilizations, nature, old manuscripts, sometimes the prophecies of saints and all religions. Man's quest for his own identity has forced him to find his roots and the best source for this is religious scriptures. The greatest miracle is the Qur'an. The Holy Qur'an contains a beautiful description of the beginning, development and gradual developmental stages of intrauterine life. However, the concept of man evolving in stages was not recognized until much later. But the scientists of the time and most Muslim and non-Muslim scholars do not even know that the Qur'an and pregnancy and delivery or after birth. In fact, the information in the Qur'an and

Hadith corrects many superstitious and ambiguous ideas about human development that were prevalent earlier. After reading this article, the reader will easily become acquainted with the reality of creation.

Any conscious person who considers his surroundings with his conscience in mind will come to the conclusion that every living and inanimate thing has been created in the universe. Therefore, the question will surely come before him as to who created these things. Created? The "reality of the universe" which is evident in every aspect of the universe cannot be created by itself. For example, the solar system cannot create itself and bring it into this order. And it is inconceivable that they all happened to exist by chance. So everything we see has been created, but nothing we see can be its creator. Their creator is separate and superior to them. He is superior to all visible things. Not visible, but its existence is evident everywhere in the manifestations of the universe. This is the point at which even those who believe in the existence of God fall prey to self-deception. Not ready. These people are not deniers of creation but are victims of superstitious beliefs about the existence of God and His place. Most of them believe that God exists somewhere in the heavens or they think that He is the Creator. He sits far away from the stars and rarely interferes in the affairs of the world. He thinks that he created the universe and then left people to decide their own destiny. And then there are some people who have heard that the Qur'an mentions the omnipresence of God, but they do not understand it properly. In some way or another, in the form of a perceived gas, the universe is encircled. The idea of giving God an existence and then having Him somewhere is a mistake that many people make and because of this they sometimes deny the existence of God. Prejudice is kept. This prejudice is responsible for wrong opinions about God Almighty. What is this prejudice? In fact, this bias or one-sided and baseless opinion is about the nature of matter. We have become so accustomed to the assumptions about the existence of matter that we never question whether it actually exists or is just a shadow and the idea is that modern science has eliminated it and discovered a very important and self-evident fact. In this regard, a bird's eye view of anti-religious people and tendencies in history can be described in philosophical terms. Materialists inevitably refuse to accept the reality of creation. Instead, they say. Matter exists from eternity and it will exist forever as an absolute thing. In other words, they give matter the status of worship. They define their own sources of existence in this way.

"Materialism acknowledges the eternity and permanence of the world. Eternity means that it has no beginning and no end, and that it was not created by God, and that it is infinite in time and space".

The reason why materialists place so much emphasis on matter is that they do not want to acknowledge the existence of the Creator of the universe. I came and a creator created it. At the end of the twentieth century, the whole scientific community recognized that matter is not an absolute, but a starting point. This event is called the Big Bang. This theory is confirmed by the estimates, observations and experiments of theoretical physics. Today, the most modern view of science is that

"the universe came into being from a body." This is what the Qur'an and all religions state. Furthermore, modern science has rejected materialism and its sub-theories. The war got out of their hands. However, materialists are reluctant to accept that matter is not absolute and that the universe was created. In their stubbornness, they did not shy away from creating contradictions in science as well. Acknowledging this fact But in the case of believing in Allah, it will be necessary to accept the religion and follow it. But religion is basically the name of submitting to the commandments of God. It is very painful.

Philosophers, through their rationalism, have come up with a wealth of interpretations of creation and the earth, but the theory that was accepted in the nineteenth century was the "theory of evolution." The theory of evolution is a concept of the world and a philosophy and presents hypotheses and imaginative scenarios in terms of mere coincidence to explain existence. The foundations of this philosophy and way of thinking can be traced back to ancient Greek civilization.

All atheistic philosophies that deny the existence of direct creation are clinging to the theory of evolution and constantly trying to defend it. During the theory of evolution, science has taken on the cloak of science for its justification. In fact, the very science that the proponents of this theory wanted to use in their favor is constantly refuting this theory. Scientific methods such as laboratory experiments and probabilistic calculations have proven that the amino acids on which life is based cannot come into being on their own. And evolved spontaneously in extreme weather conditions, yet cannot be produced in a laboratory equipped with the most sophisticated equipment of the twentieth century. Evolutionists have carried out numerous excavations around the world, but couldn't find any fossils that could be considered a link between any two species of animals. In the process of gathering evidence for evolution, evolutionists have reluctantly and unintentionally gathered evidence that shows that evolution is not possible. The theory of evolution in the form that we have today and in which every effort is being made to defend it was put forward by Charles Robert Darwin, a non-professional biologist. In his book, *The Origin of Species By Means of Natural Selection*, Darwin claimed in his book

"All the animal items were combined and the source was the same. The animals that have adapted to their environment and have shifted their properties to the next generation are different from their ancestors and at one point they became a separate species. Most advanced generation in this natural selection process is the human race.

The ideological and political circles of the time immediately adopted and promoted Darwin's imaginative ideas. This ideology thus began to become popular. Until then, scientific knowledge had not reached the point where Darwin's non-political theories could be disproved. By that time, subjects such as genetics, microbiology and biochemistry had not come into being. If Darwin himself had come into being, he would have known that his theory is meaningless. Gets done Darwin's book was still echoing in the air when George Mendel, an Austrian botanist, proposed inheritance laws in 1865. No attention was paid to his work until the end of the

century. Was reintroduced in the early 1900's and at the same time theories about "genetics" began to emerge. This discovery has put the theory of evolution in crisis because the vast amount of information in "DNA" cannot be explained by mere coincidence.

Along with these new scientific discoveries, the work on the theory of evolution has also proved that it is almost impossible to find evidence of the evolution of living species at all stages. Despite years of searching, no trace of such animals has been found. In conclusion, they are the link between the less developed and the less developed animals. In this situation, the theory of evolution should have been thrown in the trash, but it did not happen because there were some circles who wanted to keep it alive as a theory on the scientific scene by constantly changing and modifying it. The significance of these efforts can only be proved if it is assumed that non-scientific interests of some quarters were also associated with this theory.

Following this theory, Egyptian circles, after making some necessary changes, maintained the same ideology under the name of Neo-Darwinism. Many of them became extinct over time, leaving only those that were fully compatible with their environment. Evolutionists began to look for new models of this theory. They now proposed a model called "Gallop Evolution". This model had no scientific or rational basis. According to this model, it was claimed that living things, suddenly into other species. Are divided and no transitional species emerges during this time. In fact, such a claim was tantamount to acknowledging the theory of creation, but evolutionists were reluctant to acknowledge it. Keep up the logical effort. For example, he claimed that the first bird in the history of life without any the cause and the sudden emergence from the eggs of a reptile. They say that the reason for this change need not be stated, as well as their claim that the land carnivorous animal went through the transition without transition to the giant whale fish. I changed.

All of these claims by evolutionists contradict the principles of genetics, biochemistry, and biophysics, and are as unscientific as the fictional story of frogs being transformed into princes. It would be unreasonable to claim that a reptile could be used to explain the space that is found in the universe, which cannot be explained by the neo-Darwinian model, but to explain the space that is found in fossils about the evolution of birds. The first bird to emerge from the egg was. This would be a refutation of the claims of evolutionists themselves that the evolution of species requires a major and useful change in the information stored in the genetic system, but not a mutation-like mutation. It can neither improve nor enhance information. Mutations can only confuse genetic information. Instead there will be total destruction because any such genetic change in genetic information this new blueprint for evolution was a product of imagination. Despite this obvious flaw, evolutionists continued to value this new blueprint. The reason was that they were unwilling to accept that Archaeological evidence does not support Darwin's theory. Darwin's theory was that species evolved gradually and gradually. Such transitional forms of extensive excavations and the discovery of millions of fossils could not be discovered. Evolutionists have come up with this

new model of the theory of evolution in order to cover up their failure in the form of archeological evidence. Therefore, this model could not be self-sufficient. Today's evolutionists have discovered that complex organs, such as the eyes, wings, lungs, and brain, cannot be the result of gradual evolution. Keep making changes that are against both logic and reason.

The theory of evolution of species:

According to the theory of evolution, the transformation of one species into another requires a gradual process spanning millions of years. The species came into being gradually and over a long period of time, so there must have been a large number of these transitional forms. If such creatures had really existed, we should have found their remains somewhere. If it were true, the number and remnants of these transitional creatures would be much greater than the number of living species today, and their remains would have to be excavated in every part of the world. Evolutionists have been searching for fossils since the time of Darwin, but so far they have found nothing to despair of, either on land or in the ocean. Coins, Darwin himself was aware that such a transitional form did not exist, but he had high hopes that over time, experts would be able to find such structures. Despite this hope, he knew that all obstacles to his theory this is the biggest obstacle he writes in his book "The Origin of the Species."

"If it is true that species evolved gradually from generation to generation, then why don't we find transitional forms? Why is it that species appear in clearly defined forms rather than in a vague form? There should be transient beings and we should find their structures in the earth's crust, but we do not see these transitional forms in archeological sites. This difficulty has left me hesitant for a long time.

Darwin's concern was not without reason, evolutionists are still concerned about it. "When we study the Rakazi record in detail, we do not find evidence of gradual evolution, but rather that the other group suddenly came to the fore after the group disappeared. With regard to this gap in the Rakazi record, one cannot be satisfied by saying that a sufficient number of Rakazis have not yet been discovered and that such Rakazis will be found one day or another.⁸

Nawil George argues that:

There is no need to apologize for the inadequacy of the ruins. This gap is still present in the records so far. Here is an example of the most important evidence of evolution that has been rejected. Transferred to land, there are more than 40 living examples of the fact that it has been caught many times over the last one and a half centuries, proving that it is a complete fish that is still alive today. "Left is a 135-million-year-old fossil of the Archaeop Teryx, which is said to be the ancestor of birds, and is said to have evolved from dinosaurs. It turned out to be an inanimate bird that used to fly.

The origin of life and its reality:

When we examine the fossils found in the earth's crust, we find that living things appeared suddenly and simultaneously. The oldest layer of the earth that contains the remains of living creatures is from the Cameroonian era. This layer is between five hundred and thirty years old and five hundred and twenty million years old. Creatures found in the Cameron era suddenly appeared and there are no traces in the back layer that can be said to be the ancestors of the animals of the Camerian era. Coming is called camera pressure. The living beings found in this layer of the earth are adorned with complex and advanced systems such as the eye, feathers and the circulatory system. The editor of "Richard Monestarsky" writes about the sudden appearance of living things like this:

"Half a billion years ago, the most complex animals we see today appeared. Some five hundred and five million years ago, when the Earth entered the Cameroonian era, there was an evolutionary explosion and all the oceans were filled with the first complex creatures." There is evidence of the existence of most types of Cameroonians in the era and they were as distinguished and *distinguished from each other then as they are today*. Evolutionists have no answer to the question of how the earth was suddenly filled with a variety of animals. Life suddenly came into being with its diversity. This era is what these experts call the evolutionary gulf. I'm wrapped up. In 1984, some fossils of complex unnatural animals were discovered in the southwestern Chinese province of Chengjian. Some of these animals are now extinct, but the animals discovered are no less complex than today's animals.

Swedish evolutionist Stephan Bengston explains the situation:

"If there is a human being created in history, then that is the process of suddenly diversifying the marine life, which has dominated the environment and evolution of marine life. This also made Darwin nervous and it was causing us a problem.

"If there is a mystery in the history of life as mysterious as the creation of man, it is the process of the sudden diversification of marine life, in which the environment and evolution of marine life have been dominated by multicellular animals. "It simply came to our notice then"... The sudden appearance of complex living things without any ancestors was as astonishing to Darwin as it was 135 years ago, as it is to today's experts. Almost a century and a half later, Darwin's theory couldn't take a step forward. In any case, the evidence from Rakaz proves that living things did not evolve in such a way that they traveled from simple to complex, but were born in such a complete and complete form. Not only is it a feature of the Cameron era, but there is no evidence of any evolutionary development that could suggest that when it evolved into a particular species, it took on a particular transitional form in the middle. In other words, all living things will be created, not evolved.

Evidence of the theory of evolution:

A closer look at the evidence for the theory of evolution reveals that the theory of evolution does not support the theory of evolution, but rather rejects it. By giving descriptive and thematic explanations, they give the impression to the general public that the Rakazi artifacts are evidence of the theory of evolution. It seems to be proving. The fact is that the fossils that are dug out of the ground are not satisfactorily identified. Usually these fossils consist of incomplete fragments of scattered bones. Interpretation becomes possible. Therefore, it is not surprising that the sketches that evolutionists make based on speculation in support of evolution are in favor of evolution. Since people have already been influenced by these hypotheses, looking at the sketches, one begins to believe that such creatures must have really existed in the past.

These sketches, based on a study of bone remnants, shed light on only the general characteristics of these organisms. The only real difference is in the soft tissues that have disappeared over time. But anyone can make a conjecture based on their imagination. Earnest A. Hutton, a professor at Harvard University, describes the situation as follows:

"The construction of soft parts is more difficult. Bones cannot be found about the lips, eyes, ears and nose. You can build a monkey and a philosopher's face with a uniform on a tender skull. The scientific scope of the theories of such ancient and early human existence is very small. Such theories are usually the cause of the error of the al-Naas. So building complete animals based on the remnants of the stoic is not a danger ".

"Building soft parts is a more difficult task. No information can be obtained from the bones of the lips, eyes, ears and nose. You can build a monkey and a philosopher's face with equal ease on a sleepy skull." The scientific validity of such theories of the existence of such ancient and primitive species, if any, is very small. Such theories are the cause of the misguidance of the general public. Is".

Pitdown man:

In 1912, Charles Dawson, an English physician and amateur astronomer, announced that he had found an ancient skull and jaw in a pit near Pitdown. Although the skull was human, the man whose skull was found has been dubbed the "Pitdown Man." There was a skull. Many articles were written on it. On the basis of this skull, pictures of the pit down man and his family were made. This skull was presented as a decisive proof of the theory of evolution.

In 1949, scientists re-examined the skull and concluded that the person claiming to have discovered it had deliberately tricked the human skull into mixing the "Orangutan's" jaw with the human skull.

Using the method of fluorine radiation, the researchers discovered that the skull was not more than a few thousand years old. The skull was later tested again in 1953 and the deception came to light that the skull actually belonged to a five-hundred-year-old man and the jaw was later attached to it. It was dipped in dichromate to reveal the specific color of the old bone. When the bone was dipped in water, its original spots disappeared. A member of the research team could not hide his surprise and Forced to say:

"The artificial wear was immediately visible. It's so obvious that it was amazing to be out of sight for so long."

Nebraska Man:

In 1922, Henry Fairfield announced that he had found a molar near "Snackberg" in western Nebraska. "Henry Fairfield" was the director of the American Museum of Natural History. Teeth have the characteristics of both human and monkey teeth. A long debate has begun over this. Some people say that it is related to a human being found in the beginning, "Patheon Thropus Fractus". He was considered closer to modern man. However, in the same controversy, the controversial man was nicknamed "Nebraska Man." Soon he was given the scientific name "Hesperopithecus Haroldcooki."

Many experts supported Fairfield's theory. Based on this single tooth, Nebraskaman's entire face and body were created, and imaginary images of you and his entire family were created. The rest of the skeleton was discovered in 1927. According to the newly discovered fragments, the investigation proved that the tooth was neither human nor ape's. Experts eventually concluded that the tooth was found in the United States. The inhabitants belong to a species of pig that has long since become extinct.

Evolutionary stages in humans and apes:

"Charles Darwin" says of the evolutionary stages.

"According to evolutionary theory, modern humans and monkeys are the children of the same ancestors. Over time, one part of the descendants of these ancestors evolved and became human beings of today".

According to the theory of evolution, modern humans and monkeys are the offspring of the same ancestors.

Evolutionists have dubbed this fictitious ancestor of man and Ben Mans "Australopithecus", which means Ben Mans of South Africa. Similar species are still found. Some of them are strong and massive while some are small and emaciated. Evolutionists have named the next stage of human evolution "Homo", which means human beings. These experts believe that today's man, "Homo Sapten", came into being in the last stage of evolution. The fact of the matter is that in this hypothetical scenario devised by evolutionists, the "Australopithecus"

person is a man who has become extinct. There were human beings who became extinct. Evolutionists have made the smallest fossils of monkeys and humans the smallest in size and used them in their plans for human evolution. However, scientific facts have shown that somehow there is no evidence of evolution. Some of these fossils belong to real apes and some to real humans. If there is any difference, it is only in size. Besides, there is no evidence of any real difference.¹⁶

Australopithecus extinct apes:

Evolutionists claim that australopithecines are the ancient ancestors of modern humans. Although the skull of this ancient creature resembles that of modern humans, its brain cell is relatively small. Can be considered the ancestor of modern man. The movements of man and monkey are completely different. Man is the only creature that can walk freely on two legs. This limited ability is also found in some other living things, but their structure is primarily for crookedness. According to evolutionary experts, the Australian Pithi Kis gait was as straight as today's man. Evolutionists were the first to deny the ability of the australopithecine to walk on two legs. After a detailed study of these structures, it was determined that the australopithecus was closer to a monkey than to a human. In 1970, Charles E. Oxnerd, after a detailed study, announced that its structure was similar to that of today and "Orang-Utan".

The discovery that really disappointed the evolutionists was that the Australian path could not walk on two legs in any bent position. New biophysics has shown that australopithecines have to expend a lot of energy to walk like this, so it is unlikely that they will be able to walk on two legs. In 1996, a British biologist, Robin Crompton, Computer-generated sketches prove that "such a combination is not possible." Animals either walk straight or walk on all fours. It is not possible to choose between these two ways of walking for a long time because it is a huge waste of energy. You used to bend down and not walk on two feet. The strongest evidence that Australia did not walk on two legs was presented in 1994 by Frde Spoor, an ethnographer and chairman of the Department of Human Anatomy and Cellular Biology at the University of Liverpool in England.¹⁸

The group studied two-legged animals that had taken on the role of fossils. Their research revolved around a device found inside the ear that works to balance two-legged gait. But proved that the Australian Pathi cannot be two-headed. As a result, the animal was denied to be human-like.

The Real Man (Homo Series)

The next destination of imaginary human evolution is Homo, the human chain. These creatures were in fact human beings and in no way different from modern man. The only difference was that these human beings belonged to different races. Evolutionists have exaggerated the small differences in their anatomy and called them separate species rather than different races. However, as we shall see later, the humans belonging to this Homo series they were just like us.

According to the imaginative scenario of evolutionists, according to the evolution of human beings, Homo can be divided into these sub-species. "Homo Erectus" comes first, followed by "Homo Sapien Archaic" and "Nanderthal Man", then "Cro Mangnan Man" and finally the modern man. Contrary to the claims of evolutionists, all of the species they have lost are in fact human beings. The most obvious evidence that Homo erectus is not the earliest species of Homo erectus is the Turkana Boy. The 12-year-old boy will be 73.1 meters tall. The vertical structure of this rock is no different from today's man. Homo erectus was, in fact, the most important evidence for this. In fact, the human race is the same as it is today.

"The observer will see the skull's eyesight, the angle of the face and the bone of the eyebrows. None of these things are dramatically different from the modern man. If there is a difference, it is only as much as it is found among different generations of human beings today. When a population is geographically isolated for a long time, such differences become normal ".

The observer will see the resemblance of the skull, the angle of the face, and the eyebrows. None of this is dramatically different from modern man. It is found between different races of human beings. When a population is geographically isolated for a long time, such differences become commonplace. Leakey means that "Homo erectus" Homo erectus is just as different from today's man as winning today's "Negro" from today's "Discomo". It can also appear as a result of being together for a long time.

One proof that Homo erectus is not a separate species is that the fossils discovered are 27,000 and some 13,000 years old. The former were discovered on the island of Java, while the latter found in the "Kow" area of Australia. These fossils show that "Homo Erectus" Homo erectus was also alive in the recent past, but he was a human being just like us and not different from us. Any kind of sub-species. It's just that for some reason that generation is extinct.

Archaic Homo Sapien and Nanderthal Man:

In the imaginary evolutionary diagram, the number of Archaic Homo comes immediately before the modern man. Some researchers believe that representatives of this race still exist in the form of the original inhabitants of Australia. Like the "Arctic Homo Spain", these Australians have raised eyebrows and the size of their skulls is relatively small. Go Evolutionists have described the structures found in the "Netherlands" in the Neanderthal Valley as Neanderthals. Experts believe that modern humans also have their descendants. And buried their dead. In fact, the Neanderthal race was superior to modern humans in some respects, not only because their skulls were larger but also because their bodies were stronger and taller.

According to Trinkaus:

"The bones of the lower-thall and the legs were stronger than the modern man. The bones discovered by them show that modern humans could never have achieved such power. This was the same world of physical strength in their children and women."

"Nender Thal's torso and leg bones were stronger than those of modern humans. The bones he discovered suggest that modern humans may never have been able to achieve such strength. That was the world. "

In short, the Nanderthal Man was also a race of human beings that merged with other human beings over time.

All of these factors show that the scenario of human evolution is itself a product of the imagination of evolutionists and has nothing to do with reality. Man has always been a human being and monkeys have been a different species from the beginning and still are. Is.

Reality of Creation:

After the failure of the theory of evolution in every field, the eminent researchers of microbiology have begun to acknowledge the fact of creation. It is a part of creation. It is a fact that cannot be denied. Michal J. Behe, while acknowledging the absolute existence of a Creator, comments on those who deny this fact:

"The work on real life at the level of the open and the financial has clearly and unambiguously declared its results. This conclusion is so important that it can be called the most important discovery of science without fear. The slogan of Ureka, or Paa, should be raised from 10,000 circles on this discovery ".

"The work on real life at the level of cells and molecules has clearly and unequivocally announced its results. This result is so important that it can be called the most important discovery in the history of science without fear of denial. At the same time, the slogan "Eureka" should be raised from ten thousand circles at the same time.

But no celebration of any kind was observed. Instead, a mysterious silence has been observed on the complexity of the cell. When the same matter is brought before the public, it is difficult to accept the situation. But people feel satisfied in their own place. Many people clearly show their positive attitude towards this situation through their actions and residences. For most of them, the situation is satisfying. Why are they reluctant to accept the facts? Why don't they want to acknowledge that life is a conscious creation?

Many people today may not be aware that what they have to believe in the alternative if they do not believe in God is just a fallacy in the name of science. Allah created the man from gly.22" خلق الانسان من علق" Considered unscientific, they

are forced to believe that the first living thing came into being millions of years ago as a result of "sediment" and lightning.

However, the Qur'an describes the various stages of the formation and evolution of the human being in the womb. From which it is known that the system of Lordship of the Lord of the Universe manifests itself in the womb with all its splendor. Incidentally, from the beginning of a child's life in the mother's womb to its completion and birth, the divine system of upbringing proves that man goes through various gradual and evolutionary stages, proving that the innermost part of human existence. Be it the universe or the external universe of the universe, everywhere the same system of deity operates with equal dignity and order. These stages of evolution described in the Qur'an have also been confirmed by today's modern scientific research. The Almighty says:

1. *وَلَقَدْ خَلَقْنَا الْإِنْسَانَ مِنْ سُلَالَةٍ مِّنْ طِينٍ*

And, verily, we have spoken of the creation of man (beginning) from the sum of the (chemical constituents) of clay.

2. *ثُمَّ جَعَلْنَاهُ نُطْفَةً فِي قَرَارٍ مَّكِينٍ*

Then we made him a sperm (reproductive drop) and placed him in a strong place (mother's womb).

3. *ثُمَّ خَلَقْنَا النُّطْفَةَ عَلَقَةً*

Then we made this sperm (in the form of a leech inside the womb) a suspended being.

4. *فَخَلَقْنَا الْعَلَقَةَ مُضْغَةً*

Then we made the hanging being a piece of cloth that seems to be chewed by the teeth.

5. *فَخَلَقْنَا الْمُضْغَةَ عِظَامًا*

Then we made a skeleton out of it.

6. *فَكَسَوْنَا الْعِظَامَ لَحْمًا*

Then we spread flesh (and muscle) over those bones.

7. *ثُمَّ أَنْشَأْنَاهُ خَلْقًا آخَرَ فَتَبَارَكَ اللَّهُ أَحْسَنُ الْخَالِقِينَ*. 23

Then He created the creation, and in the end, blessed be Allah, the Best of creators. "Then we developed him into another form of creation (gradually), then (He) increased (him) and made him a strong being who is the best of creators."

These verses mention the seven stages of human formation and evolution. The first of these is related to its chemical composition and the remaining six are related to the structural stages of its mother's womb. The stages of human embryo described in the above verses are as follows:

سُلَّةٌ مِنْ طِينٍ Extract of clay

Spermatid liquid of sperm

Hanging mass clinging to the endometrium of the uterus

Madgha Chewed lump of chewed substance

Great skeletal system

Muscle muscular system

New Creation

Then in that creation, the Almighty has placed the system of hearing before the system of vision and the system of intellect and understanding.

It was said:

ثُمَّ سَوَّاهُ وَنَفَخَ فِيهِ مِنْ رُوحِهِ وَجَعَلَ لَكُمُ السَّمْعَ وَالْأَبْصَارَ وَالْأَفْئِدَةَ قَلِيلًا مَّا تَشْكُرُونَ-24

Then he breathed in it and breathed into it from his spirit and made it for you to hear and to observe and to benefit and to say a little, but I am not thankful.

Then He rectified it (in proportion to the parts of the body) and breathed into it of His own accord, and made for you (hearing and seeing) ears and eyes and minds (to think and understand), but you seldom (of these blessings). Understanding the importance and reality (giving thanks).

Similarly, in another place there is a divine instruction:

إِنَّا خَلَقْنَا الْإِنْسَانَ مِنْ نُطْفَةٍ أَمْشَاجٍ نَبْتَلِيهِ فَجَعَلْنَاهُ سَمِيعًا بَصِيرًا-25

"Verily, we have created man from a mingled fluid. Then we turn him and test him in various ways, until we make him a hearer (and) a seer."

Here, too, it is very clear that in the development of the human embryo, the human hearing system is brought into existence first and the system of vision is formed later. This creative process, described in the Qur'an, has been described and supported today by modern embryology research. Dr. Keith L. Moore, Professor of Anatomy at the University of Toronto, Canada, is a fundraiser:

"Primordium of the internal ears appears before the beginning of the eyes and the brain (the site of understanding) differentiates last. This part of Sura 32: 9 indicates that special senses of hearing, seeing and feeling develop in this order, which is true".

Translation: "The internal organs of the ear appear before the beginning of the eye and then the brain (ability to understand) distinguishes it. This part of verse 9 of Surah As-Sajda explains that the specific sense of hearing, the sense of sight and the sense of touch grow, respectively, and this is the reality. These are the scientific facts about human creation that the Qur'an brought to light fourteen centuries ago today and have been confirmed by modern science today. Along with the greatness and exaltation of the divine system of God, it also provides a rational argument for the truthfulness of the Qur'an and the authenticity of Muhammad's prophethood, which no sane person can deny.

The regular scientific system for determining these stages of human biological development in the mother's womb was first invented by Streeter in 1941, and was later modified in 1972 by O'Rahilly's proposed system. By the beginning of the twenty-first century, this system has become quite stable after phased research, but the Qur'an, with the same precision, describes these stages 14 centuries ago, which is confirmed today by modern research in anatomy and embryology. Is an undeniable miracle, which even the non-Muslim world is forced to acknowledge in spite of all its prejudices. As natural factors are so numerous and so delicately balanced that it would be illogical to assume that they were formed by chance. Those who cannot save themselves from this irrational and irrational practice, no matter how hard they try, cannot deny the signs of Allah in the heavens and the

earth. Allah created the heavens and the earth and He Everything that exists between them. Signs of the existence of Allah Almighty pervade the entire universe.

REFERENCES:

- Johar, Muhammad Din, *Atheism*, Kitab Mahal, Lahore, 2018, p: 120
- Aaron John, *The Universe, Theory, Time and Destiny*, p
- Andrew Lange, *Oxford Elementary Encyclopedia of Science and Technology*, Oxford University Press, 2010, p. 56
- Haroon Yahya, *The Theory of Evolution is a Deception*, Style Publishers, Rawalpindi, 1998, p: 87
- Charles Robert Darwin, *The Origin of Species*, Vintage Classics, UK, 2009, P: 122
- Haroon Yahya, *When Life Began*, Maktab Rahmaniya, Lahore, 2012, p: 34
- Charles Robert Darwin, *The Origin of Species*, P: 82
- Derck V Ager, *The Evolution of life history*, Capman & Hall publishing, Uk, 1992, P: 98
- Aaron Yahya, *Theory of Time and Destiny*, p.84
- Richard Monestarsky, *Earth Science*, New York times books, 1999, P: 117
- Stephan Bengston, *Family Theory and Research*, Sage Publishers, New Dehli, 2005, P: 211
- Earnest A. Hutton, *The Evolution*, New York Press, 1977, P: 153
- Will Deodont, *The Evolution of Human Civilization*, Fiction House Mozang, Lahore, 2014, p: 143
- Henry Fairfield, *Evolution and Religion*, C.Scribner's son, 1923, Page: 15
- Charles Robert Darwin, *The Origin of Species*, Page: 82
- Ghias Chaudhry, *Kainat Aur Hum*, Technical Publishers, Lahore, 1994, p. 55
- Aaron Yahya, *The Theory of Evolution a Deception*, p. 87
- Fred Spoon, *Evolving Primates*, University van Ambsterdam Press, 2006, P: 42
- Rechard Leakey, *The Origin of Humankind*, Orion Press, 213, Page: 120
- Erik Trinkaus, *The Shanidar Neandertal*, Elsevier Sciences, 2014, P: 295
- Haroon Yahya, *Cell One Unit*, (Translator: Abdul Khaliq Hamdard) Maktab Rahmania, Lahore, 2007, p: 40
- Al-Alaq 92:2
- Al-Mominin 23: 14
- Al-Sajda 9 : 32
- Al-Dahar 2 : 76
- Keith L. Moore, *Developing Human*, Elsevier Press,2015,P:241
- . Moore, K.L, *The Developing Human Clinically Oriented Embryology*, 3rd ed. Philadelphia, W.B. Sanders Co., 1982.P:241