

PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt / Egyptology

FLOW SHOP SCHEDULING PROBLEMS USING BRANCH AND BOUND TECHNIQUE UNDER LR-FUZZY NUMBER

S. Santhi¹, K. Selvakumari^{2}*

¹Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.

^{2*}Professor, Department of Mathematics, Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.

¹santhosh.mitha@gmail.com, ^{2*}selvafeb6@gmail.com

S. Santhi, K. Selvakumari. Flow Shop Scheduling Problems Using Branch and Bound Technique Under LR-Fuzzy Number-- Palarch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology 17(7), 4791-4801. ISSN 1567-214x

Keywords: Processing Time, LR-fuzzy Numbers, Branch and Bound Technique, Total Elapsed Time.

ABSTRACT:

This paper is an analysis of flow shop scheduling problem in LR-fuzzy numbers where processing time of the jobs are associated with probabilities and solved using Branch and Bound technique to obtain the optimal sequence. Here processing time of the jobs are represented by LR fuzzy numbers. Using under various reference function in the Yager's ranking formula the total duration of the jobs were calculated. A numerical example is illustrated to obtain the completion time of the jobs by using the Branch and Bound technique in LR -fuzzy numbers with various reference functions.

INTRODUCTION

Generally fuzzy numbers plays a vital role to handle uncertainties. Fuzzy sets provide a membership value for each individual in the universe. LR – fuzzy number is an extension of fuzzy numbers with left and right shapes. Dubois and Prade[3] define the idea of LR-fuzzy numbers with some arithmetic operations.

Scheduling deals with the idea of planning and arranging jobs in an orderly sequence. Flow shop scheduling is the process in which all jobs pass through all machines in the same order. It is majorly used in the area of production management. To increase the profit and to minimize the total completion time of the jobs, scheduling helps to order the jobs in a particular way. Johnson proposed the rule to calculate the makespan of the jobs.

Application of Branch and Bound technique in flow shop scheduling was developed by Ignall and Schrage [5]. Brown and Lominick [2] analysed branch and bound technique in machine scheduling. Maggu and Das [6] introduced the idea of Job-block criteria in flow shop scheduling problems. Uthra, Thangavelu and Shunmugapriya [10] compared the optimal solution of FSS problems in intuitionist and fuzzy environment.

Thorani and Ravi Shankar [7] discussed the fuzzy transportation problems under LR-fuzzy numbers with various types of reference functions to get the optimal solution. Thorani and Ravi Shankar [8] developed the idea of solving assignment problem by considering LR fuzzy numbers as Generalised Trapezoidal fuzzy numbers and by applying centroid technique, under Linear programming model the optimal solution of the multi objective assignment problem were discussed.

In this paper, the optimal solution of flow shop scheduling problem were discussed by using various reference functions derived from the Yager's Ranking formula for LR-fuzzy numbers. By applying Job-Block criteria and by using Branch and Bound method the optimal sequence was obtained and the minimum total elapsed time was calculated for various reference functions in Yager's formula. The results were compared to decide the minimum completion time for the entire process under various reference function.

Preliminaries

Fuzzy Set

Let X be a nonempty set, and a fuzzy set \tilde{A} is defined by $\tilde{A} = \{(x, \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x)) : x \in A\}$. In the pair $(x, \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x))$, the first element belongs to the classical set A , the second element $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x)$, belong to the interval $[0, 1]$ is called the membership function.

Fuzzy number \tilde{A} is a fuzzy set on the real line \mathcal{R} , must satisfy the following conditions.

- $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x_0)$ is piecewise continuous
- There exist at least one $x_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ with $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x_0) = 1$
- \tilde{A} must be normal & convex

LR-Fuzzy Number

A fuzzy number $\bar{A} = (m, n, \alpha, \beta)_{LR}$ is said to be an LR fuzzy number if

$$\mu_{\bar{A}}(x) = \begin{cases} L\left(\frac{m-x}{\alpha}\right), & x \leq m, \alpha > 0 \\ R\left(\frac{x-n}{\beta}\right), & x \geq n, \beta > 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If $m = n$ then $\bar{A} = (m, n, \alpha, \beta)_{LR}$ will be converted into $\bar{A} = (m, \alpha, \beta)_{LR}$ and is said to be triangular LR-fuzzy number. L and R are called reference functions, which are continuous, non-increasing functions that defines the left and right shapes of $\mu_{\bar{A}}(x)$ respectively and $L(0) = R(0) = 1$. Two special cases are triangular and trapezoidal fuzzy numbers for which

$L(x) = R(x) = \max \{0, 1 - |x|\}$ are linear functions. Non reference function which are commonly used with parameters p , denoted by $RF_p(x)$. Linear and Non- Linear functions with their inverses are given in the below table.

Reference Functions and their Inverses

+ /Function Name	Reference Function $RF_p(x)$	Inverse of Reference function $\alpha \in [0,1]$
Linear	$RF_p(x) = \max \{0, 1 - x\}$	$RF_p^{-1}(x) = (1 - \alpha)$
Exponential	$RF_p(x) = e^{-px}, p \geq 1$	$RF_p^{-1}(x) = -\frac{\ln \alpha}{p}$
Power	$RF_p(x) = \max \{0, 1 - x^p\}$	$RF_p^{-1}(x) = -\sqrt[p]{1 - \alpha}$
Exponential Power	$RF_p(x) = e^{-x^p}, p \geq 1$	$RF_p^{-1}(x) = \sqrt[p]{-\ln \alpha}$
Rational	$RF_p(x) = \frac{1}{1 + x^p}, p \geq 1$	$RF_p^{-1}(x) = \sqrt[p]{\frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha}}$

λ –cut for LR-Fuzzy Number

Let $\bar{A} = (m, n, \alpha, \beta)_{LR}$ be an LR fuzzy number and λ be a real number in the interval $[0,1]$. Then $A_\lambda = \{x \in X: \mu_{\bar{A}}(x) \geq \lambda\} = (m - \alpha L^{-1}(\lambda), n + \beta R^{-1}(\lambda))$ is said to be the λ – cut of \bar{A} .

Yager's Ranking Formula for LR-Fuzzy Numbers

If $\bar{A} = (m, n, \alpha, \beta)_{LR}$ is a LR -fuzzy number then

$$R(\bar{A}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_0^1 ((m - \alpha L^{-1}(\lambda)) d\lambda + \int_0^1 (n + \beta R^{-1}(\lambda)) d\lambda \right]$$

Let \bar{A} and \bar{B} be two fuzzy numbers then

1. $\bar{A} > \bar{B}$ if $R(\bar{A}) > R(\bar{B})$ 2. $\bar{A} = \bar{B}$ if $R(\bar{A}) = R(\bar{B})$ 3. $\bar{A} < \bar{B}$ if $R(\bar{A}) < R(\bar{B})$

Case: 1 If $L(x) = R(x) = \max \{0, 1 - |x|\}$ then

$$R(\bar{A}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[m - \frac{\alpha}{2} + n + \frac{\beta}{2} \right]$$

Case: 2 If $L(x) = R(x) = e^{-x}$ then

$$R(\bar{A}) = \frac{1}{2} [m - \alpha + n + \beta]$$

Case: 3 If $L(x) = \max \{0, 1 - |x|\}$ $R(x) = e^{-x}$ then

$$R(\bar{A}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[m - \frac{\alpha}{2} + n + \beta \right]$$

Case: 4 If $L(x) = e^{-x}$ $R(x) = \max \{0, 1 - |x|\}$ then

$$R(\bar{A}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[m - \alpha + n + \frac{\beta}{2} \right]$$

Arithmetic Operation

Let $\bar{A} = (m_1, n_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1)_{LR}$ and $\bar{B} = (m_2, n_2, \alpha_2, \beta_2)_{LR}$ be two LR-fuzzy numbers. Then

- $\bar{A} + \bar{B} = ((m_1 + m_2, n_1 + n_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2)_{LR})$

- $\bar{A} - \bar{B} = (m_1 - n_2, n_1 - m_2, \alpha_1 + \beta_2, \beta_1 + \alpha_2)_{LR}$
- Scalar Multiplication
- If $x > 0, x \in R$ then $x \times \bar{A} = (xm_1, xn_1, x\alpha_1, x\beta_1)_{LR}$
- If $x < 0, x \in R$ then $x \times \bar{A} = (xn_1, xm_1, -x\beta_1, -x\alpha_1)_{LR}$

Mathematical Formulation of LR -fuzzy Number in Flow Shop Scheduling Problem

Assume that some jobs are to be processed in three machines in a sequential order.

The problem is formulated under the parameters of processing time and their corresponding probabilities for each machines Type equation here.

jobs	Machine A		Machine B		Machine C	
	p_i	l_i	q_i	m_i	r_i	n_i
1	$(m_1, n_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1)_{LR}$	l_1	$(a_1, b_1, \gamma_1, \varepsilon_1)_{LR}$	m_1	$(f_1, g_1, \theta_1, \mu_1)_{LR}$	n_1
2	$(m_2, n_2, \alpha_2, \beta_2)_{LR}$	l_2	$(a_2, b_2, \gamma_2, \varepsilon_2)_{LR}$	m_2	$(f_2, g_2, \theta_2, \mu_2)_{LR}$	n_2
3	$(m_3, n_3, \alpha_3, \beta_3)_{LR}$	l_3	$(a_3, b_3, \gamma_3, \varepsilon_3)_{LR}$	m_3	$(f_3, g_3, \theta_3, \mu_3)_{LR}$	n_3
....
....
N	$(m_n, n_n, \alpha_n, \beta_n)_{LR}$	l_n	$(a_n, b_n, \gamma_n, \varepsilon_n)_{LR}$	m_n	$(f_n, g_n, \theta_n, \mu_n)_{LR}$	n_n

Assumption

- The tasks to be processed are independent of each other
- Pre-emption of employment are not allowed
- An appointment is not available to the next machine until and unless the current processing device is completed.
- Machines never breakdown and are available throughout the scheduling process.
- (v)Each job must be completed once it is started.

Notations

p_i – Processing time of i^{th} job on Machine A

q_i – Processing time of i^{th} job on Machine B

r_i – Processing time of i^{th} job on Machine C

(All the processing times are being taken in the form of

$$(m, n, \alpha, \beta)_{LR})$$

l_i –Probability associated with processing time p_i

m_i –Probability associated with processing time q_i

n_i –Probability associated with processing time r_i

A_i – Expected processing time for Machine A

B_i – Expected processing time for Machine B

C_i – Expected processing time for Machine

S_k – Sequence formed from jobs($k=1,2,\dots,5$)

Proposed Algorithm for LR – Fuzzy Flow Shop Scheduling Problems

Step 1: Assume the processing time as an LR-fuzzy number .

Step 2: Calculate the expected processing time as

$$A_i = p_i * l_i, B_i = q_i * m_i, C_i = r_i * n_i$$

Step 3: Defuzzify the LR – fuzzy numbers by using various reference function in the Yager's Ranking formula.

Step 4: Calculate the expected processing time of the job block $\delta = (y, z)$ on the fictitious machines A, B and C such that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\delta} &= A_y + A_z - \min(A_z, B_y) \\ C_{\delta} &= C_y + C_z - \min(B_z, C_y) \\ B'_{\delta} &= B_y + B_z - \min(A_z, B_y), B''_{\delta} = B_y + B_z - \min(B_z, C_y) \\ B_{\delta} &= \frac{B'_{\delta} + B''_{\delta}}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Step 5: Define the new reduced problem by using the job-block criteria.

Step 6: For the 3 machine with processing time A_i, B_i, C_i calculate the lower bound by using the formulae

- $I_1 = t(J_r, 1) + \sum_{i \in J_r} A_i + \min(B_i + C_i)$
- $I_2 = t(J_r, 2) + \sum_{i \in J_r} B_i + \min(C_i)$
- $I_3 = t(J_r, 3) + \sum_{i \in J_r} C_i$

Step 7: Calculate $L = \max \{I_1, I_2, I_3\}$ and evaluate L first for the n classes of permutations i.e. for these starting with 1,2,3....respectively and labelling the appropriate vertices of the branch from the calculated values.

Step 8: Exploring the vertex with the lowest value and calculating the remaining subclasses starting with the vertex and again concentrate on the lowest label vertex. The process is continued until the end of the tree represented by two single permutation is reached. The total work duration is calculated.

Step 9: Minimum Total Elapsed Time is calculated by preparing in-out table for the sequence obtained.

Step 10: Step 3 to 9 is followed for all the reference functions.

Step 11: Comparative analysis is made.

Numerical Example

A famous jewellery shop in T. Nagar had some Goldsmith for cutting, cleaning and polishing the ornaments. During weekends, they give equivalent work for some jobs. Calculate the minimum time taken by them to complete their work in busy schedule. They usually get bangles (J_1), ear rings (J_2), neck set (J_3), bracelets (J_4) and rings (J_5), to do all the works.

Here the processing time for doing each job is given in LR-fuzzy numbers. Jobs (2,3) are given an equivalent work.

Works Jobs	Cutting	l_i	Cleaning	m_i	Polishing	n_i
J_1	$(7,8,2,4)_{LR}$	0.1	$(4,5,2,3)_{LR}$	0.2	$(6,7,3,5)_{LR}$	0.1
J_2	$(6,7,4,5)_{LR}$	0.2	$(3,5,1,2)_{LR}$	0.1	$(2,4,1,3)_{LR}$	0.1
J_3	$(2,4,1,3)_{LR}$	0.3	$(6,7,2,4)_{LR}$	0.2	$(3,5,2,4)_{LR}$	0.2
J_4	$(3,5,2,4)_{LR}$	0.2	$(8,10,4,6)_{LR}$	0.1	$(8,9,4,7)_{LR}$	0.3
J_5	$(8,9,4,6)_{LR}$	0.2	$(5,7,3,4)_{LR}$	0.4	$(7,9,1,6)_{LR}$	0.3

Solution

When the processing time of the machines multiplied with their probabilities we get

Machines Jobs	Cutting	Cleaning	Polishing
J_1	$(0.7, 0.8, 0.2, 0.4)_{LR}$	$(0.8, 1, 0.4, 0.6)_{LR}$	$(0.6, 0.7, 0.3, 0.5)_{LR}$
J_2	$(1.2, 1.4, 0.8, 1)_{LR}$	$(0.3, 0.5, 0.1, 0.2)_{LR}$	$(0.2, 0.4, 0.1, 0.3)_{LR}$
J_3	$(0.6, 1.2, 0.3, 0.9)_{LR}$	$(1.2, 1.4, 0.4, 0.8)_{LR}$	$(0.6, 1, 0.4, 0.8)_{LR}$
J_4	$(0.6, 1, 0.4, 0.8)_{LR}$	$(0.8, 1, 0.4, 0.6)_{LR}$	$(2.4, 2.7, 1.2, 2.1)_{LR}$
J_5	$(1.6, 1.8, 0.8, 1.2)_{LR}$	$(2, 2.8, 1.2, 1.6)_{LR}$	$(2.1, 2.7, 0.3, 1.8)_{LR}$

Defuzzifying the LR- fuzzy numbers by using the Yager's ranking formula with the reference function

Case: 1 If $L(x) = R(x) = \max \{0, 1 - |x|\}$

Works Jobs	Cutting	Cleaning	Polishing
J_1	0.8	0.9	0.7
J_2	1.3	0.4	0.3
J_3	1.0	1.4	0.9
J_4	0.9	0.9	2.7
J_5	1.8	2.5	2.7

Giving jobs 2 and 3 an equivalent work and by using job block criteria we get

Works Jobs	Cutting	Cleaning	Polishing
1	0.8	0.9	0.7
δ	1.9	1.4	0.9
4	0.9	0.9	2.7
5	1.8	2.5	2.7

Table representing the value of lower bound

J_r	$LB(J_r)$
LB(1)	8.7
LB(δ)	10.3
LB(4)	8.8
LB(5)	11.3
LB(1 δ)	10.4
LB(14)	8.9
LB(15)	11.4
LB(14 δ)	10.6
LB(145)	9.6

The optimal sequence formed is 1-4-5- δ

In- out Table Showing the Minimum Total Elapsed Time

Works	Cutting	Cleaning	Polishing
-------	---------	----------	-----------

Jobs	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out
1	--	0.8	0.8	1.7	1.7	2.4
4	0.8	0.17	1.7	2.6	2.6	5.3
5	0.17	3.5	3.5	6.0	6.0	8.7
δ	3.5	5.4	6.0	7.4	8.7	9.6

Total time taken for completing the entire process = 9.6hrs

Idle time in cutting = $9.6 - 5.4 = 4.2$ hrs

Idle time in cleaning = $0.8 + 0.9 + 2.2 = 3.9$ hrs

Idle time in polishing = $1.7 + 0.2 + 0.7 = 2.6$ hrs

Case: 2 If $L(x) = R(x) = e^{-x}$

Works /Jobs	Cutting	Cleaning	Polishing
J_1	0.85	1	0.75
J_2	1.4	0.45	0.4
J_3	1.2	1.5	1
J_4	1	1	3
J_5	1.9	2.6	3.1

Giving jobs 2 and 3 an equivalent work and by using job block criteria we get

Works/ Jobs	Cutting	Cleaning	Polishing
1	0.85	1	0.75
δ	2.1	1.5	1
4	1	1	3
5	1.9	2.6	3.1

Table representing the value of lower bound

J_r	$LB(J_r)$
LB(1)	9.6
LB(δ)	11.4
LB(4)	9.8
LB(5)	12.3
LB(1 δ)	11.5
LB(14)	9.9
LB(15)	12.45
LB(14 δ)	11.1
LB(145)	10.4

The optimal sequence formed is 1-4-5- δ

In- out Table Showing the Minimum Total Elapsed Time

Works	Cutting		Cleaning		Polishing	
Jobs	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out
1	--	0.85	0.85	1.85	1.85	2.6
4	0.85	1.85	1.85	2.85	2.85	5.85
5	1.85	3.7	3.7	6.3	6.3	9.4
δ	3.7	5.8	6.3	7.8	9.4	10.4

Total time taken for completing the entire process = 10.4 hrs

Idle time in cutting = $10.4 - 5.8 = 4.6$ hrs

Idle time in cleaning = $0.85 + 0.85 + 2.6 = 4.3$ hrs

Idle time in polishing = $1.85 + 0.25 + 0.45 = 2.5$ hrs

Case: 3 If $L(x) = \max \{0, 1 - |x|\}$, $R(x) = e^{-x}$

Works Jobs	Cutting	Cleaning	Polishing
J_1	0.9	1.1	0.8
J_2	1.6	0.47	0.4
J_3	1.2	1.6	1.1
J_4	1.1	1.1	3.3
J_5	2.1	2.9	3.2

Giving jobs 2 and 3 an equivalent work and by using job block criteria we get

Works/ Jobs	Cutting	Cleaning	Polishing
1	0.9	1.1	0.8
δ	2.4	1.6	1.1
4	1.1	1.1	3.3
5	2.1	2.9	3.2

Table representing the value of lower bound

J_r	$LB(J_r)$
LB(1)	10.4
LB(δ)	12.4
LB(4)	10.6
LB(5)	13.4
LB(1 δ)	12.5
LB(14)	10.7
LB(15)	13.5
LB(14 δ)	12.1
LB(145)	11.3

The optimal sequence formed is 1-4-5- δ

In- out Table Showing the Minimum Total Elapsed Time

Works	Cutting		Cleaning		Polishing	
Jobs	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out
1	--	0.9	0.9	2.0	2.0	2.8
4	0.9	2.0	2.0	3.1	3.1	6.4
5	2.0	4.1	4.1	7.0	7.0	10.2
δ	4.1	6.5	7.0	8.6	10.2	11.3

Total time taken for completing the entire process = 11.3 hrs

Idle time in cutting = $11.3 - 6.5 = 4.8$ hrs

Idle time in cleaning = $0.9 + 1.0 + 2.7 = 4.6$ hrs

Idle time in polishing = $2.0 + 0.3 + 0.6 = 2.9$ hrs

Case: 4 If $L(x) = e^{-x}$, $R(x) = \max \{0, 1 - |x|\}$

Works Jobs	Cutting	Cleaning	Polishing
J_1	0.7	0.8	0.6
J_2	1.1	0.4	0.3
J_3	0.9	1.3	0.8
J_4	0.8	0.8	2.4
J_5	1.6	2.2	2.7

Giving jobs 2 and 3 an equivalent work and by using job block criteria we get

Works/ Jobs	Cutting	Cleaning	Polishing
1	0.7	0.8	0.6
δ	1.6	1.3	0.8
4	0.8	0.8	2.4
5	1.6	2.2	2.7

Table representing the value of lower bound

J_r	$LB(J_r)$
LB(1)	8.7
LB(δ)	9.4
LB(4)	8.1
LB(5)	10.3
LB(1 δ)	9.5
LB(14)	8.2
LB(15)	10.4
LB(14 δ)	9.6
LB(145)	8.8

The optimal sequence formed is 1-4-5- δ

In- out Table Showing the Minimum Total Elapsed Time

Works	Cutting		Cleaning		Polishing	
Jobs	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out
1	--	0.7	0.7	1.5	1.5	2.1
4	0.7	1.5	1.5	2.3	2.3	4.7
5	1.5	3.1	3.1	5.3	5.3	8.0
δ	3.1	4.7	5.3	6.6	8.0	8.8

Total time taken for completing the entire process = 8.8

Idle time in cutting = $8.8 - 4.7 = 4.1$ hrs

Idle time in cleaning = $0.7 + 0.8 + 2.2 = 3.7$ hrs

Idle time in polishing = $1.5 + 0.2 + 0.6 = 2.3$ hrs

The proposed algorithm is calculated for the other reference function derived from the yager's formula. The calculated values are shown in the below table

Reference function	Optimal Sequence	Total Completion Time
$L(x) = R(x) = \max \{0, 1 - x \}$	1-4-5- δ	9.6
$L(x) = R(x) = e^{-x}$	1-4-5- δ	10.4
$L(x) = \max \{0, 1 - x \}$ $R(x) = e^{-x}$	1-4-5- δ	11.3
$L(x) = e^{-x}$ $R(x) = \max \{0, 1 - x \}$	1-4-5- δ	8.8

CONCLUSION

This paper provides an idea to solve scheduling problem in the LR- fuzzy environment. Branch and bound technique have been used to derive the optimal sequence of the flow shop scheduling problems in which the processing time are being taken as LR-Fuzzy numbers. It is illustrated with the example that either linear or exponential membership function on LR-fuzzy number yields best results. Our future work is to solve the scheduling pattern in different fuzzy environment with different algorithm.

REFERENCES

- Ambika, G., & Uthra, G. (2014). Branch and Bound Technique in flow shop scheduling using fuzzy processing times. *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 8(2), 37-42.
- Brown, A.P.G., & Lomnicki, Z.A. (1966). Some applications of the branch-and-bound algorithm to the machine scheduling problem. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 17(2), 173-186.
- Dubois, D., & Prade, H. (1978). Operations on fuzzy numbers. *International Journal of systems science*, 9(6), 613-626.
- Gupta, D. (2011). Application of Branch and Bound Technique for $n \times 3$ Flow Shop Scheduling in Which Processing Time Associated With Their Respective Probabilities. *Mathematical Modeling and Theory*, 2(1), 31-36.

- Ignall, E., & Schrage, L. (1965). Application of the branch and bound technique to some flow-shop scheduling problems. *Operations research*, 13(3), 400-412.
- Maggu, P.L., & Das, G. (1977). Equivalent jobs for job block in job scheduling. *Opsearch*, 14(4), 277-281.
- Thorani, Y.L.P., Ravi Shankar, N. (2013). Fuzzy transportation linear programming models based on LR fuzzy numbers. *International Journal of computer application*, 72(14).
- Thorani, Y.L.P., & Ravi Shankar, N. (2017). Application of Fuzzy Assignment Problem. *Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics*, 12(4), 911-939.
- Thangavelu, K., Uthra G., & Shunmugapriya, S. (2016). Optimal Solution of Three Stage Fuzzy Flow Shop Scheduling Problem Using Branch and Bound Technique. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics (GJPAM)*, 12.
- Uthra, G., Thangavelu, K., & Shunmugapriya, S. (2018). A Comparative Study on Optimal Solutions of Flow Shop Scheduling problems under Fuzzy and Intuitionistic Fuzzy Environment, 5(6).
- Zadeh. (1965). *Fuzzy Sets*. *Information and Control*, 8, 338-353.