

## PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt / Egyptology

### Formative Assessment In Online Teaching And Learning

*Sarita Verma*

Dept. of Education, Sharda University, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email: sarita.verma@sharda.ac.in

**Sarita Verma: Formative Assessment In Online Teaching And Learning -- Palarch's Journal Of Archaeology Of Egypt/Egyptology 17(6). ISSN 1567-214x**

**Keywords: Formative Feedback, Learner's Engagement, Online Teaching and Learning, Online Formative Assessment**

#### ABSTRACT

Online learning has become a standard technique in higher education. In non-traditional settings, lecturers will re-theorize some fundamental questions regarding instruction, learning and evaluation. The emerged problems comprise of assumptions like cogency & evaluation anonymity within digital settings about achieving the expected objectives, & recognizing working of formative assessment works in context of online learning. The problem of whether formative assessment supports both student learning and instruction in an online environment inside pedagogic approaches is therefore of interest. The concern of this paper was to know the significance of digital method of teaching and learning along its benefits among students & teachers. A mixed approach questionnaire on formative assessment was used to gather data from courses utilizing Blackboard, with an emphasis on how formative assessment works across online contexts. The community became lecturers and teachers at a large university. Different formative assessment methods related to online resources such as chat boards and quantitative assessments were used. The well-known advantages include improving student involvement, quicker reviews, improving versatility over time and location to take on the assessment role and value in the student and lecturer process, as well as saving on capital expenses.

#### 1. Introduction

Formative assessment is termed as Training evaluation which was obvious intonation in test circles instead of summative & learning assessment however the main focus changed; internet usage and blended curriculum grew radically in the research and teaching climate of 21st century higher education. Researchers stated that "2 parallel trends in the educational environment have been observed: the integration of electronic teaching - learning through daily activities of colleges, and the increasingly popular role of distance courses in universities & colleges". The non-existence of room as well as face-to-face

interactions with educators & learners in an online format contributes to complex strategies for measuring learning in a classroom. Assessment is critical as it impacts heavily upon strategies of learners. It was an essential higher educational part. Researchers agree with this statement, although they also note this kind of assessments was termed to be critical factor in order to achieve essential method of teaching-learning. Whether professor conducts the assessments influences whether students perceive the lesson, the subject to be learned and their own research [1].

Methods of learning and teaching must be evaluation-centered to provide opportunities for learners to demonstrate developed skills & obtain support for enrichment of acquiring knowledge. The appraisal often influences what students consider as important and often learners really aren't willing to spend time on research that they believe does not necessarily lead to academic success, i.e. job that is irrelevant to them. The term “backwash” refers to student impact assessment learning; which implies assessment, and not instruction, determines whether and what students are doing. It is now evident that the appraisal decision is important, so aligning the test correctly with the learning results will create a positive learning experience, while the pupil is preparing for the appraisal. Furthermore, assessment activities impact students by relying on other elements of module content and determining how knowledge is interpreted. Students are focusing their determinations on any information or technical ability they believe should be tested. Therefore, evaluation determines what content learners expend time studying, and form of learning environment. Different appraisal types encourage various learning classifications. These comprises of both summative as well as formative evaluation. The researchers have only discussed formative assessment for this paper [2].

Online educational practices give rise to “reforming the roles, relationships & practices of students and instructors”. The faculty must know features of digital education, as well as medium utilized for offering it to the learners. Standardizing evaluations for matching digital learning requirements are a concern, particularly when attempting to incorporate the methods of formative evaluation utilized in a conventional method. Educators require to define appropriate forms of evaluation acceptable for online learning and recognize the ability of digital resources to track student learning and their own lessons. This is important to look at how assessment methods are utilized for rendering loop of feedback among the practical guidance & evaluation. Formative input can promote student participation, improve student success and increase learning motivation [2].

Formative assessment is described in the form of “Iterative approaches that assess when, how well and how much participants will know in related to learning expectations and track results to provide personalized curriculum assistance and input on future learning, a pedagogical approach that becomes most successful when communicated by instructors, colleagues & adult learners”. Formative integration & evaluation among technical expectations

conveys the concept of electronic formative evaluation through the unfolding of this fusion. The researchers used a term formative e-evaluation that they defined as an ICT approach to encouraging the iterative process of evaluating student learning knowledge, both from educators & pupils, as part of their evaluation of how future effects and unexpected results of applied strategy are accomplished and accomplished. In f2F environments as well as in mixed and electronic learning situations, the researchers definition incorporates how formative evaluation is carried out in both e-learning situations and ICT 's attribute dimension. Online formative assessment is characterized by researchers in same manner as the presentation of formative assessment inside online learning and in accordance with circumstances in which professors & students are divided by time and/or room [3].

Many scholars had reported online the pedagogical possibility of a formative appraisal. Nonetheless, it is therefore highly necessary to ensure that the learning atmosphere gives learners ample resources, not just for constructive learning but also for studying, to mimic the real-world working climate. As checked in the digital technological learning field by many scientists, the characteristics of studying in a learner environment and participation in dialog showing how information is implemented in real-world activities are crucial to fostering meaningful progress in promoting such changes. The main goal is to promote research that can be applied to growing contexts that demonstrate technical critical elements of the 21st century. Effective amalgamation for evaluation within digital education had prospect of offering proper organization for continuous significant collaborations between students and lecturers and fostering efficient group enhancements of learning that provides an access for evaluation. In fact, this will include a formal framework for successful student intervention by active learning assessment and the delivery of sufficient formative input. Continuing scaffolding learning provision is extremely important within digital learning & could practically approached via cooperative method among students & lecturers [4].

Assessment literacy is the collection of skills of a teacher based on designing and applying assessment methods intended to provide knowledge regarding the development and success of the students. Assessment training is an important aspect of student-centered pedagogy, and therefore is the gateway to ongoing development of every teaching and learning program for both teachers and students. Teachers are required to look after the assessment to be perceived in the form of multifunctional operation. E.g., “digital conversations in asynchronous form promote a multidimensional assessment method seen within structural areas, self-regulatory cognitions that are self-regulatory & behaviours, autonomy of students, environment of learning & writing skills of learner” [5].

These elements need to be taken into consideration when designing and executing evaluation activities in the instructional design phase. The primary aim of the evaluation is to continually enhance education through 2 crucial manners: a) it offers requisite evidence to instructor; & b) it promotes a

proactive and pro-active approach to the whole learning and teaching and cycle as “an essential component of the teaching method”. “High quality assessment” is an important educating aspect success through student’s engagement & teachers within broad learning variety experiences which appreciate learner accomplishment, thus guiding progress in instructional plans and approaches and contributing to enhancements in the program. The assessment covers all tasks performed by teachers and students to gain knowledge that can be used diagnostically to change learning and teaching. There is a distinction between measuring schooling and instruction. The learning evaluation focuses mainly on graduation as the key measure of student success. [6].

The evaluation phase is when the learners' status about specific educational factors is measured. Education appraisal aims at "allowing learners to fully grasp their own performance and the expectations they are working to achieve by positive input." Academics advocate for the incorporation of important developmental learning into the program. Integrated tests help to concentrate learning and instruction on the program targets & offer learners with guidance about addressing the gap among known and unknown requirement. Evaluation is formative as the knowledge is used to customize learning and teaching to fulfil the needs of the students.

The instruction delivery mode doesn't change basic assessment guidelines. Evaluation likely to lead to continuous quality improvement in the online environment is key to the growth of an engaged learner community. At the same time, assessment results inform the design of online courses through the use of a range of methods, both standard and modern, like reviewing & evaluation of learner, along with jobs which facilitate strategic thinking and teamwork among students. In these conditions, there is a broad variety of evaluation approaches that provide learners and teachers with several ways to test performance in the dynamic teaching phase at different entry points. “Effective assessment strategies will enhance the comprehension of student needs by an educator and include a learner-centered classroom for progress”. Formative evaluation methods may also provide the teacher with opportunities to support the students as an essential meta-cognitive ability in their help-seeking phase. Researchers noticed in their analysis that online learning needs more effort from both the teacher and the students in the learning evaluation process. Experience of digital educators demonstrates - when learners don't raise queries, there weren't enough informal ways to understand whether or not student learning is taking place. The use of structured or informal formative evaluation methods will support students in phase of help-see & provide for self-regulatory requirements & practices like reflection and self-assessment [7].

Due to the growing complexity of requirements for a relevant curriculum that prepares students for work in the world of the 21st century, evaluation strategies need to balance alternative and traditional approaches to student performance assessment. In such circumstances, formative evaluation gives instructors a more accurate representation of student gains through employing various approaches during the teaching process, in terms of information and

skills. Effective learning is thereby encouraged by meeting the various learning types, requirements, desires, and concerns of the students. Simultaneously, peer assessment, self-assessment, collaborative work and project-based learning are at the core of the course, due to better involvement of students in assessing their own work and progress over time. The following explanations reflect select educational activities by the two co-authors who used formative observation to guide their own creation of the teaching and curriculum.

## 2. Examples Of Formative Assessments

Used to measure student learning and development, students may complete online journaling either separately, within groups having few members. For some individuals, forming a group of students may be problematic, particularly if journaling depends on peer feedback. For this scenario, matching up would take the complexity and number of journal articles into consideration, if they might be a cumulative weight in the final score, as well as previous student-to-student experiences was linked to certain course assignments. This can include incremental introduction of online journaling and careful modeling until students can fully commit to the learning experience [8].

When online journaling takes place within groups, allotting positions (like chairman/agent) can assist to improve learner maturity & ability of advanced thinking in reflection. The issues used in on-line journaling need to be well organized. Careful care must be given to keeping students from getting distracted by journaling which is too regular. The topics of conversation or leading issues should be defined from a selection intended to avoid duplication if online journaling is to be used regularly. Around the same time, these subjects for exploration and directing issues will be focused on the nature of the course and its learning objectives [1].

### *The Minute Paper:*

It is the method used in class evaluation to get daily input from learners regarding their learning. Student feedback and observations will offer a way for teachers to recognize instructional requirements in their classes on the basis on which they can enhance teaching. The (One) Minute Paper will offer an important means for the professor to test student success and comprehension in an online classroom. Because online learning involves the restoration of the positions, relationships and activities of students and teachers, educators must be informed of the correct formative assessment approaches they may use to enhance contact with students commitment [9]. Experts recommend utilizing two key questions for classroom appraisal in the (One) Minute Paper: the first asks learners to comment on what you are told and to assess effectiveness of student learning in the second questionnaire. Below are two examples of Minute Paper queries: A) perhaps the very significant thing users' discovered B) queries emerged in their mind after lecture.

Muddiest level assessment technique is modification of 1 Min exam. One problem posed in the Muddiest Point paper is "Which point was the

muddiest?” It helps for finding which learners think the much misleading, or vague. Researchers used the Minute paper method in their 12 week course for online journaling for their learners. Implementing online reports helped the teacher assess student progress and their own instruction, and provided a channel of contact between the educator and the students. The involvement of staff and instructors was given such that the students wouldn’t feel secluded. One warning is to use a number of questions or goal-oriented questions instead of challenging with similar queries or applying the Minute Paper in a timely fashion, while preventing boredom and overloading of knowledge. Researchers further observed – content within papers were especially in perspective of learners when sem is about to end [10].

### ***Reflection Paper:***

Reflection may be seen as a part of analysis and learning. At the conclusion of an asynchronous or synchronous lesson, professors may give students a reflection paper for the study and reflection of the topic material. Learners cannot plan to read every one of the communications of learners and professors through multi-theme conversations on a chat forum. A discussion paper should provide a topic summary, drawing upon classmates' roles and learning. Reflecting papers help learners to internalize how they learned through discussions. Teachers should use student reflection document as a means of teaching the relevant topics and learning resources [11].

### ***Role Play:***

The educator will delegate tasks to the students that they will play in an online class during their conversation and analysis. Game play will help students evaluate learning about themselves and peers. Researchers noticed that assigning positions in online conversations such as facilitator, essential reflector (or respondent) and overview allowed students to track the learning and development of their peers. Every week, students volunteered for the positions focused on the topics of discussion. The professor presented students with evaluation requirements and feedback questions that serve as references which are cognitive. A research demonstrates that perhaps the facilitator 's position enabled learners to learn from online conversation with their peers [12].

### ***Hook Questions:***

Students are challenged to come up with some questions, focused on a specific pre-requisite reading that they will use to participate in a discussion regarding a subject chosen from their reading content. The instructor could be identified online in their variety of subjects, the students express the wide spectrum of concerns about focusing main queries. Around the same period, students may analyse presented hook queries to assess the extent of difficulty along Bloom's Taxonomy-Factual / minor levels or evaluative or high phase examination.

In the case that synchronous contact is used within digital classroom, hook queries will be sent to instruct the learners regarding some of the focal points that should be answered before the chat. The synchronous conversation will even be evaluated after the session is finished and the students' reactions to subjects that they are debating are then illustrated as hook queries. An examination of hook issues may also expose uncertainty or incorrect opinions that might guide the preparation of potential encounters online in context of either an interactive argument or a synchronous talk [13].

### ***Significant Factors of Formative Assessment:***

Such brief messages from these learners are meant to offer instructor a summary of a standard pre-required reading that reflects on one or more subjects in which students frequently communicate. Such remarks can be exchanged online before a synchronous chat session can be concluded using all of them in an attempt to use learner's perspective to support similar chat. Therefore, these "points to bear in mind" can be used as a constantly accessed map, based on which corresponding internet chat experiences may replicate or strengthen related subjects. For utilizing 2 methods in tandem, students might be asked to connect the "Things to Hold in Mind" with the hook queries generated on the very same reading content, equivalent to a worker and the general public-test process.

### ***Questions Wall:***

Its separate electronic learning debate site that may contain specific queries from the learners & teachers. Key aspect for utilizing communicative device was for enabling learners build conversation boards focused on subjects of concern to them, both relevant to the class material and its co-requirements and more general concerns (some of which may be technological or practical in nature). One factor a Questions Wall functions better was conventional distinctions among teachers & learners vanish, thereby improving interactivity and online connectivity [14].

Every synchronous chat session may begin with teachers who informally recognise any difficulties students might have specific reliable technique to read, where, nature of digital conversation can be modified for resolving - support for the graduates. If the schedule for online talking is already established, teachers should notice student feedback on success areas as well as issues that could be discussed separately at the end where the digital conversation took place among learners & educators. Alternatively, teachers may find reported hook queries & items for students for remembering it, encouraging them to arrange the online chat into subjects which are required for revision, strengthened or explored. Actually, that was really significant for controlling scale of digital conversation community, while every learner may add into discussion, providing the professor detailed details regarding his / her success.

### ***Strategies to Use Formative Assessments for Online Classes:***

When planning online courses that incorporate formative evaluation approaches as a way to improve student participation and performance, there are a few things to bear in mind. Firstly, that was very necessary for educators to determine how much time they plan to expend on these strategies, what proportion of them must be used and how to equate them with the segment's official law ranking. The goal of these formative appraisal approaches is to optimize student success by offering regular and appropriate input to the learners. Yet asking for improvements in learner tasks will also be taken into account into the problem solving policy of the entire class [15].

E.g., if the course were to run in one 4-hour session per week for seventeen weeks, then the duration of formative testing methods will be very different because of separate classroom presented about 56 days lectures occurring much frequently 1 time within week. Within other scenario, much streamlined manner in which curricula are presented would indicate manageability as a primary criterion in grouping these evaluative resources based upon learner's ability of learning & participating in digital world.

### **3. Conclusion**

It was concluded that assessing the writing abilities of students was mixed with a more general assessment of the results, which rendered objective evaluation very troublesome. In this situation, teachers will be mindful of the difference of deciding whether or not goals of program have been achieved by evaluating subject awareness & students' writing evaluation, that is part of analytical course, but not really full collection of goals. An approach to improve unbiased student results' measurement is to use syllabuses for scoring. These evaluative methods may, at the same time, provide a meta-cognitive guide for students. Scoring rubrics through extrapolation may assist both teachers and students in handling evaluation assignments and sequencing relevant material. With regard to formative feedback, multiple draft versions of a course assignment can be discussed during synchronous online meetings based on a scoring rubric. Couple of topics mentioned into present research – trigger queries & the important facts which has to be taken into consideration – may be used to discuss suggestions linked to a big project, where formative reacts over couple of presented forms of online learner posts may contain references from specific scoring rubrics. That could help students for identifying an appropriate medium for online education, in order to negotiate with professor, key task contents referred to the hook issues and items to Bear in mind that sparked the curiosity in a subject to be written on.

Another approach will be to use conversation as a means for students to share their previously described Reflection Papers as a means to get peer-related formative reviews. In this case, the professor may lead the resulting interactive discussion by recognizing key findings and/or seeking confirmation or facts from the student in support of their statements. Overall, a similar edition of the reflective journal will be found by asking students to choose a number of past



Reflection Articles we wrote enough for curriculum to rely on what tends to reach out in terms of development & improvement in the intellectual subject area(s) of study. Interdependent on instructional style and technological considerations; this ensures that the online learning program needs to respond to the complexity of the class and to the student performance criteria. The usage of a wide set of tests is an important part of this planning cycle. Generating an assessment schedule for the whole online class lets teachers chart their pedagogical techniques and resources.

## References

- S. K. Vonderwell and M. Boboc, "Promoting Formative Assessment in Online Teaching and Learning," *TechTrends*, 2013.
- Z. Gaylard Baleni, "Online formative assessment in higher education: Its pros and cons," *Electron. J. e-Learning*, 2015.
- D. F. Wood, "Formative Assessment," in *Understanding Medical Education: Evidence, Theory and Practice: Second Edition*, 2013.
- J. Parkes, D. Zimmaro, J. Parkes, and D. Zimmaro, "Formative and Summative Assessments," in *The College Classroom Assessment Compendium*, 2018.
- Z. G. Baleni, "Online formative assessment in higher education: Its pros and cons," in *Proceedings of the European Conference on e-Learning, ECEL*, 2014.
- Z. G. Baleni, "Online formative assessment in higher education," *Electron. J. e-learning*, 2013.
- D. Lawton *et al.*, "Online learning based on essential concepts and formative assessment," *J. Eng. Educ.*, 2012.
- D. Perera-Diltz and J. Moe, "Formative and Summative Assessment in Online Education," *J. Res. Innov. Teach.*, vol. 7, no. 1, 2014.
- P. E. Chan, K. J. Graham-Day, V. A. Ressa, M. T. Peters, and M. Konrad, "Beyond Involvement: Promoting Student Ownership of Learning in Classrooms," *Interv. Sch. Clin.*, 2014.
- R. Lam, "Language assessment training in Hong Kong: Implications for language assessment literacy," *Lang. Test.*, 2015.
- B. O. Ogange, J. Agak, K. O. Okelo, and P. Kiprotich, "Student Perceptions of the Effectiveness of Formative Assessment in an Online Learning Environment," *Open Prax.*, 2018.
- E. Dittmar and H. Mcracken, "Promoting continuous quality improvement in online teaching: The meta model," *J. Asynchronous Learn. Netw.*, 2012.
- T. C. Sandanayake, "Promoting open educational resources-based blended learning," *Int. J. Educ. Technol. High. Educ.*, 2019.
- I. Elmahdi, A. Al-Hattami, and H. Fawzi, "Using Technology for Formative Assessment to Improve Students' Learning," *Turkish Online J. Educ. Technol. - TOJET*, 2018.
- A. Jönsson and U. Eriksson, "Formative Assessment in Higher Education," in *Handbook of Formative Assessment in the Disciplines*, 2019, pp. 146–169.