PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt / Egyptology

PATIENTS SATISFACTION ON TREATMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES IN PRIMARY HEALTH SERVICES AT KANCHIPURAM DISTRICT

Dr. M.HEMANATHAN¹, Dr.R.SRIDHARAN², Dr.S.CHITRA³

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Faculty of Science and Humanities, SRM Institute of Science and Technology. Kattankulathur, Kancheepuram (Dist).

²Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, Faculty of Science and Humanities, SRM Institute of Science and Technology.

³Associate Professor and Head, Department of Commerce, Faculty of Science

and Humanities, SRM Institute of Science and Technology.

Dr. M.HEMANATHAN¹, Dr.R.SRIDHARAN², Dr.S.CHITRA³, Patients Satisfaction On Treatment And Environmental Services In Primary Health Services At Kanchipuram District—Palarch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology 17(9) (2020). ISSN 1567-214X.

Key Words: Treatment Services ,Daitry serci, Health care, Primary health centers (PHC's)

Abstract

Health treatments were helping people with health-related issues and illness. For the example, special counselling, psychotherapies to change behaviour, feelings, reactions, and how the patients see and understand situations. Drugs for cerebral and material use disorders provide significant relief for many people and help manage indicators to the point where people can use other approaches to pursue recovery. For many of them, the most effective behavioral health approach involves a combination of counselling and medication. Early treatment is best. A trained professional should do a full evaluation to make the diagnosis. No single treatment works best. Treatments must address each person's needs and signs. Health services being fundamentally irrelevant to 'closing the health gap' in all nations are important to be understood. Thus, this research throws light on accent the health services by PHCs of Kanchipuram district with a comprehensive analysis and suggested measures for further research.

Introduction

Health care is important on practical, systematically sound and socially satisfactory methods and technology made universally accessible to human beings and their families in the country. The human beings contribution and at a cost that the community and country can afford to maintain at every stage of their development in the spirit of self-reliance and self-determination. It plays an Essential part of the country's health system and replicates overall social and economic development of the country. It is the first level contact of individuals, the family and community with the

national health system bringing health care as close as possible to where people live and work, and constitutes the first element of a continuing health care system.

Review of Literature

Nural Fadly Habidin (2015) studied to increase the understanding about customer relationship management (CRM), Service quality instrument (SQI) and Outpatient (OP) measures in Malaysian healthcare industry. A research model has been proposed through path analysis by Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) technique. Quantitative survey was used in the Malaysian healthcare industry. Questionnaires were distributed to healthcare managers in Malaysian healthcare industry. Statistical tools such as mean, standard deviation and frequencies and exploratory factor analysis, reliability analysis and confirmatory factor analysis were used to test the data for conclusion. It was found out that, CRM is viewed as one of the organization strategy to manage the relationship between healthcare providers and patient. Many authors found that there was a gap between CRM and organizational performance. But with the support from service quality improvement as a mediator, organization could improve their quality of organizational performance.

Shaik Mohamed (2015) the study conducted on consumer's opinion about the private hospitals OPD (Out-patient Department). The study focused on various dimension such as services, consumer satisfaction on the physical facilities and general facilities present in the Hospital. The study also assessed the patient's opinion on services provided by the doctors and Paramedical staff. The study consists of 100 patients as samples based on non-probability sampling method of convenience sampling technique. Statistical tools such as Mean value and standard deviation were used using SPSS package. The study revealed that most of the patients were satisfied with the communication and treatment of the doctors and also with the time taken to consult the doctor but there must be a reduction in the time spent in the pharmacy and also the cost of investigations to improve customer satisfaction.

Bhupesh Umath (2015) used the SERVQUAL model to analyze the gap between perceptions and expectations of the patients, with regards the services at hospitals in cities such as Ujjain, Dewas and Indore of Madhya Pradesh. This study was conducted to know the critical factors that lead to patient satisfaction using SERVQUAL model. Cross sectional data was collected from 270 respondents using simple random sampling method and analyzed for reliability test, correlation analysis, descriptive analysis and service index. It was found that the two hospitals had good service quality because the gap between perception and expectation are less in reality. Reliability and assurance, responsiveness and reliability, reliability and empathy had good correlation with each other.

Dhyana Sharon Ross (2015) analyzed factors influencing quality in healthcare and patient satisfaction and to study patient perception towards factors influencing quality and to assess the role of hospital administrators towards quality and patient satisfaction. 272 samples were collected, 208 from patients and attenders and 64 from hospital administrators using simple random sampling technique. Friedman test and chi-square test were used for statistical analysis. The study revealed that physical facilities are the most important factor on quality, followed by food and behaviour of staff and admission procedure. The level of understanding on healthcare quality differed widely with highly experienced staff with more knowledge on healthcare quality.

Quality improvement initiatives helped the administrators to work towards quality of the services.

Daprim S Ogaji (2015) researched on the systematic review of patients' views on the quality of primary health care in sub-Saharan Africa. The conduct and reporting of this systematic review followed the recommendations of the preferred reporting items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis (PRISMA). This study constructs of 372 samples, weighted average and standard deviation tools were used for analysis. The study recommends for improvement in the methods used to examine patient views on quality of primary health care.

Saira Azhar (2015) explored the role and perception of academic pharmacists regarding their role in the healthcare system of Pakistan. Qualitative methodology was used to explore perception of academic pharmacists. Semistructured interview was used and data collected from the cities of Islamabad and Lahore, Pakistan. From the interview, it was found that there was a necessity for curriculum alignment, as it was considered important to enhance pharmacy practice activities. It would ultimately yield several benefits of pharmacists, including job satisfaction

Objectives of the Study

- 1. To Identify the Important health services provided by PHCs and group the services of PHCs
- 2. To analyze the Patients' satisfaction on Health services in PHC's of KanchipuramDistrict.

Statement of the Problem

The health care service is one of the India's largest and important sectors, in terms of income and employment; one can very well witness the sector to expand rapidly. With the fast growing purchasing power, Indian patients are willing to pay more to avail best health care services which are of international standard. In the era of globalization and stiff competition, there are many factors affecting the patient satisfaction on health services like doctors, nursing and staff services. Hence, it is essential to find the patients' satisfaction on Health services of PHC's in KanchipuramDistrict.

Need of the Study

The study can help to display the Patients' satisfaction on Health services of PHC's in Kanchipuram District. The Data drawn from this study can serve as guidelines to organization/improve existing Health centers policies, patients satisfaction level and expectations.

Methodology of the study

The present study is mainly based on primary data. Primary data has been collected through a well structure questionnaire designed for the study.

Sampling Design

Convenience sampling method was adopted in this study for the purpose of data collection.

The number of patients taken for the study is 100 respondents.

Primary Data

Primary data were collected from the patients of primary health centers of Kanchipuram

district, through a structured questionnaire. It incorporates four dimensions

on health care services offered by PHC's in Kanchipuram district. Personal details regarding age, monthly income, employment status, educational qualification and years of experience etc

Tools used

The data collected through the questionnaires were analyzed by using the following statistical tools such as Percentage Analysis, ANOVA and Multiple Regression.

Analysis & Interpretation

Table 1 – Demographic Profile of the Patients

| Profile of the Respondents | Particulars | Frequency | Percentage % |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Gender | Male | 63 | 63 |
| Gender | | | |
| | Female | 37 | 37 |
| Marital Status | Married | 72 | 72 |
| | Unmarried | 28 | 28 |
| Age | Up to 18 Years | 9 | 9 |
| | 19 Years to 40 Years | 53 | 53 |
| | 41 Years to 60 Years | 25 | 25 |
| | More than 60 Years | 13 | 13 |
| Educational Qualification | Up to HSC | 35 | 35 |
| | UG | 31 | 31 |
| | PG | 23 | 23 |
| | Professional | 11 | 11 |
| Occupation | Agriculture and allied activities | 43 | 43 |
| | Government | 21 | 21 |
| | Private Sectors | 12 | 12 |
| | Self-employment | 13 | 13 |
| | Professional | 11 | 11 |
| Annual Income | Up to Rs.100000 | 62 | 62 |
| | Rs.1,00,001 to Rs.3,00,000 | 25 | 25 |
| | More than Rs.3,00,000 | 13 | 13 |

Source: Primary Data

Table 2 - Model Summary of Patients' Satisfaction on other Health Services and Treatment Services

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
|------------------------|----------|----------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Dietary | .688 (a) | .498 | .485 | 8.52365 |
| Nursing And Staff | .763 (b) | .558 | .566 | 7.84335 |
| Relation with Patients | .777 (c) | .568 | .583 | 7.66622 |

| Doctors Services .782 (d) | .585 | .592 | 7.61240 |
|---------------------------|------|------|---------|
|---------------------------|------|------|---------|

From the above table, it is found that r=0.688, r2 is 0.498, adjusted r2 =0.485 and SE of the estimate is 8.52365. It could be seen from above statistical result that the variable on Dietary service at 5% level. This shows that there is good fit of regression on these variables. It reveals that r=0.763, r2 is 0.558, adjusted r2 =0.566 and SE of the estimate is 7.84335. It could be seen from above table that the variable on Nursing and staff service at 5% level. This shows that there is good fit of regression on these variables. It depicts that r=0.777, r2 is 0.568, adjusted r2 =0.583 and SE of the estimate is 7.66622. It could be seen from above statistical result that the variable on Relation with Patient at 5% level. This shows that there is good fit of regression on these variables. It analyzes that r=0.782, r2 is 0.485, adjusted r2 =0.492 and SE of the estimate is 7.61240. It could be seen from above statistical result that the variable on Doctors services at 5% level. This shows that there is good fit of regression on these variables.

Table 3 - ANOVA of Patients' Satisfaction on Other Health Services

| I | Model | Sum of Squares | Df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|---------------------|------------|-------------------|-----|----------------|---------|----------|
| Diotomy | Regression | 2264.023 | 1 | 2264.023 | 303.682 | .000(a) |
| Dietary Service | Residual | 2736.164 | 99 | 72.653 | | |
| Service | Total | 5000.187 | 100 | | | |
| Nursing | Regression | 2577.427 | 2 | 1238.713 | 208.686 | .000 (b) |
| Nursing and Staff | Residual | 1962.760 | 98 | 61.518 | | |
| and Stair | Total | 4540.187 | 100 | | | |
| Relation | Regression | 2609.823 | 3 | 8869.941 | 150.934 | .000 (c) |
| with | Residual | 1830.364 | 97 | 58.771 | | |
| patients | Total | 4440.187 | 100 | | | |
| Doctors Services | Regression | 2628.412 | 4 | 6732.103 | 116.147 | .000 (d) |
| | Residual | 1811.775 | 96 | 57.949 | | |
| Services | Total | 4440.187 | 100 | | | |

From the Table No.2, it is found that Dietary Service (F value = 303.692, P = .000), Nursing and Staff services (F value = 208.698, P = .000), Relation with Patients (F value = 150.924, P = .000), Doctors Services (F value = 116.174, P = .000) which are systematically significant at the 5% level and it may be concluded that the regression fit is significant. The Independent variables i.e., Dietary Service, Nursing and Staff, Relation with Patients and Doctors Services are highly significant in explaining the patients' satisfaction on Treatment. Further, the individual impact is measured through t test and the values are shown in Table No.3 indicating the co-efficient explain the influence on the patients' satisfaction on Treatment.

Table 4 - Influence of Patients' Satisfaction on other Health Services

| Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | т | Sig. |
|-------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|--------|------|
| | | В | Std. Error | Beta | 1 | oig. |
| 1 | (Constant) | 23.680 | 3.296 | | 7.185 | .000 |
| 1 | Satisfaction on dietary service | .704 | .040 | .698 | 17.427 | .000 |
| 2 | (Constant) | 13.111 | 3.332 | | 3.935 | .000 |
| 2 | Satisfaction on dietary service | .513 | .045 | .509 | 11.483 | .000 |

| | Satisfaction on nursing services and staff services | .337 | .044 | .340 | 7.664 | .000 |
|---|---|--------|-------|------|-------|------|
| | (Constant) | 14.067 | 3.265 | | 4.308 | .000 |
| | Satisfaction on dietary service | .349 | .060 | .347 | 5.821 | .000 |
| 3 | Satisfaction on nursing services and staff services | .314 | .043 | .753 | 7.245 | .000 |
| | Satisfaction on environment services | .177 | .044 | .228 | 3.983 | .000 |
| | (Constant) | 8.294 | 4.071 | | 2.037 | .042 |
| | Satisfaction on dietary service | .307 | .062 | .305 | 4.943 | .000 |
| 4 | Satisfaction on nursing services and staff services | .281 | .045 | .283 | 6.181 | .000 |
| | Relation with Patients | .168 | .044 | .215 | 3.779 | .000 |
| | Satisfaction on doctors' services | .145 | .062 | .113 | 2.345 | .020 |

a. Dependent Variable: Satisfaction regarding treatment

From the Table4, it is found that Dietary Service (t = 17.427, P = .000), Nursing and Staff Services (t = 7.664, P = 0.000), PHC Environment (t = 3.983, P = 0.000), Relations with Patients (t = 3.779, P = 0.000), Doctors Services (t = 2.345, P = 0.020) are statistically significant at 5% level. In the same way Dietary Service is also highly Influence the satisfaction of the patients on Treatment. Therefore, it may be concluded that these health-related issues inferences, the application of multiple regressions test discloses that the patients' satisfaction on Treatment is influenced by Dietary Service, Nursing and Staff Services, PHC Environment, Relations with Patients and Doctors services.

Influence of Patients' satisfaction on other Health Services and Environmental services

The other services viz., Dietary services, Awareness programs and Treatment are considered as independent variables and the patient satisfaction on PHC Environment is taken up as dependent variables.

Table 5 Model Summary of Patients' Satisfaction on other Health Services and Environment Services

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
|--------------------|----------|----------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Dietary Service | .771 (a) | .595 | .594 | 9.73755 |
| Awareness Programs | .811 (b) | .657 | .655 | 8.96456 |
| Treatment | .822 (c) | .676 | .673 | 8.73499 |

From the Table 5, it is found that r = 0.771, r2 is 0.595, adjusted r2 =0.594 and SE of the estimate is 9.73755. It could be inferred from above statistical result that the variable on Dietary Services at 5% level. This shows that there is good fit of regression on these variables. From the Table No. 5.28, it is found that r = 0.811, r2 is 0.657, adjusted r2 =0.655 and SE of the estimate is 8.96456. It could be inferred from above statistical result that the variable on Awareness programs at 5% level. This shows that there is good fit of regression on these variables. From the Table No. 5.28, it is found that r = 0.822, r2 is 0.676, adjusted r2 =0.673 and SE of the estimate is 8.73499. It could be inferred from above statistical result that the variable on Treatment at 5% level. This shows that there is good fit of regression on these variables.

Table 6 - ANOVA of Patients' Satisfaction on Environmental Services

| Model | | Sum of Squares | Df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|-----------------|------------|-------------------|-----|----------------|---------|----------|
| Dietary Service | Regression | 4736.629 | 1 | 4736.629 | 468.313 | .000 (a) |
| | Residual | 3027.932 | 99 | 94.758 | | |
| | Total | 7764.561 | 100 | | | |
| | Regression | 4949.033 | 2 | 2424.516 | 305.171 | .000 (b) |
| Awareness | Residual | 2555.528 | 98 | 80.363 | | |
| Programs | Total | 7504.561 | 100 | | | |
| Treatment | Regression | 5217.424 | 3 | 1605.808 | 220.259 | .000 (c) |
| | Residual | 2487.136 | 97 | 76.300 | | |
| | Total | 7704.56 | 100 | | | |

From the Table No.5.29, it is found that Dietary Service (F value = 468.313, P = .000), Awareness Programs (F value = 305.171, P = .000), Treatment Services (F value = 220.259, P = .000) which are systematically significant at the 5% level and it may be concluded that the regression fit is significant. The Independent variables i.e., Dietary Service, Awareness programme, and Treatment are highly significant in explaining the patients' satisfaction on PHC Environment. Further, the individual impact is measured through t-test and the values are shown in Table No 6indicating the co-efficient explain the influence on the patients' satisfaction on PHC Environment.

Influence of other services on Patients Satisfaction of PHC Environment

| Model | | lardized cients | Standardized Coefficients | Т | Sig. |
|--|--------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------|------|
| Wiodei | В | Std. Error | Beta | 1 | Sig. |
| (Constant) | 1.350 | 3.764 | | 359 | .720 |
| Satisfaction on dietary service | .998 | .046 | .771 | 21.641 | .000 |
| (Constant) | 3.581 | 3.526 | | 1.016 | .311 |
| Satisfaction on dietary service | .707 | .057 | .546 | 12.377 | .000 |
| Satisfaction on awareness programme services | .253 | .033 | .337 | 7.625 | .000 |
| (Constant) | -2.471 | 3.721 | | 664 | .507 |
| Satisfaction on dietary service | .551 | .067 | .426 | 8.255 | .000 |
| Satisfaction on awareness programme services | .239 | .032 | .754 | 7.362 | .000 |
| Satisfaction on treatment | .244 | .058 | .190 | 4.235 | .000 |

a. Dependent Variable: Satisfaction regarding environment service From the Table No., it is found that Dietary Service ($t=21.641,\ P=.000$), Awareness Programs ($t=7.625,\ P=0.000$), Treatment ($t=4.235,\ P=0.000$) are statistically significant at 5% level. In the same way Dietary Service is also highly Influence the satisfaction of the patients on PHC Environment. Therefore, it may be concluded that these health-related issues inferences, the application of multiple regressions test discloses that the patients' satisfaction on PHC Environment is influenced by the services of Dietary Service, Awareness programs and Treatment.

Findings of the Study

- The majority of 63 per cent of the patients aremale.
- The most of the respondents (72%) are married.
- The 53% of the respondents are in the age group of 19 40 years.

- 43% of the respondents areagriculturalist.
- 62% of respondents are in the income group of up to Rs 1,00,000 peryear.
- Multiple Regression Tests it can be concluded that all the health services are influenced by other variables (services). Therefore patients' satisfaction on health services influenced by all other services and these variables are deciding patients' satisfaction level on health services

Suggestions

The PHC may extend bed facilities to all types of patient in sub centers. The Govt. may provide all the equipment's (x-ray, surgical equipment's etc.) to sub centers for the purpose of people welfare. The PHC will take initiative to start dietary service in sub centers of PHC. The Govt. will take sufficient action to maintain medicines availability in sufficient level at sub centers of PHC

Conclusion

The primary objective of the public health policy must be ensuring the accessibility and affordability to primary health care for all the people. Health care is the fundamental right of the individual and it is the primary responsibility of the Government. The Government should take sufficient measures to control against the charging of more fee on different health services by private hospitals. At the same time the Government should conduct more health awareness programs for the welfare of the people. Well cooperation of the public is very important in this regard. The Governments primary health centers are collectively responsible for the availability of medicines and other services in the sub-centers of PHC. This study concludes that the majority of the patients are satisfied with the health services provided by primary health centers of KanchipuramDistrict

Reference

- 1. Bhupesh Umath, Mr. Amit Kumar Marwah, Mr. Manish Soni (2015), 'Measurement of Service Quality in Health care industry using Servqual Model: A case of select Hospitals', International Journal of Management and Social Sciences Research, 4(1), 52-57
- 2. Daprim S Ogaji, Sally Giles, Gavin Daker-White and Peter Bower (2015) 'Systematic review of patients' views on the quality of primary health care in sub-Saharan Africa', SAGE Open medicine (3), 1-11.
- 3. Ghazi Asgar, Shahri (2015) 'customer relationship management in healthcare' Cumhuriyet University faculty of science, 36(3), 1003-1005.
- 4. Gulay Ipek Coban, GulistanYurdagul (2015) 'The relationship between cancer patients' perception of nursing care and nursing attitudes towards nursing profession', Asia-Pacific Journal of Oncology Nursing, 1(1), 16-21.