

PalArch's Journal of Archaeology
of Egypt / Egyptology

**A STUDY ON HELICOPTER MONEY AS A TOOL FOR
QUANTITATIVE EASING**

VINOD M S¹, HARIPRIYA U²

¹Assistant Professor, P G Department of Commerce, Christ Nagar College,
Maranalloor, Trivandrum, Kerala.

²Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Mar Gregorios College of Law,
Nalanchchira, Trivandrum, Kerala.

**VINOD M S¹, HARIPRIYA U², A STUDY ON HELICOPTER MONEY AS A
TOOL FOR QUANTITATIVE EASING,-- Palarch's Journal Of Archaeology Of
Egypt/Egyptology 18(9). ISSN 1567-214x**

Keywords: Helicopter Money, Inflation, Quantitative Easing.

ABSTRACT

In the present pandemic situation of the world, all countries whether economically sound or not are facing a drastic Economic state of affairs which may change the entire systems of the world. Every country is trying to develop its own ideas and packages for reviving from the downfall of the economy. As part of the economic reforms to be under taken the Hypothetical concept of Helicopter Money is gaining its stand in the discussions and penetrating to the minds of economists and experts to be a resort to start running the economy which is now at a standstill in many parts of the world. Helicopter money" is a term created by Milton Friedman in his work, 1969 "The Optimum Quantity of Money". It shows the money related reasons for having a circumstance of Inflation. In this concept Milton Friedman proposed a psychological study which comprised of dropping \$1000 to an economy from a helicopter, to delineate the expenses of holding cash just as to exhibit an easy path for the Central bank to create inflation. (Friedman, 1969). It's a tool as to be used for Quantitative Easing.

Introduction

Helicopter Money is a disputable theme that has been increasing a great deal of consideration in the most recent years. Over the most recent couple of years, national banks have discovered their conventional devices used to direct money related arrangement to be ineffective, since loan fees effectively arrived at the lower limits. From that point forward they have turned to progressively unpredictable devices like quantitative easing programs, expanding National banks, balance sheets, albeit for the most part with the open confirmation that the buys would in the end be turned around. Despite the fact that national banks utilized their greatest weapons, they are as yet battling in causing money related strategy to invigorate the

economy. On the opposite side, Financial specialists are confronting significant levels of obligation that are not perfect with a solid financial boost, because of obligation supportability concerns. In the most recent years, Helicopter Money has flooded as a thought that can possibly take care of the issues of both fiscal & monetary policies, through collaboration between the two.

Meaning

As indicated by Ben Bernanke a helicopter drop of cash is an expansionary fiscal strategy an increment out in the open going through or tax break financed by cash creation (Bernanke, 2016). In its quintessence is fiscal arrangement financed by cash creation. Another definition is given by Adair Turner, who says that "monetary finance can be defined as minimizing the fiscal deficit (greater deficit than would somehow or another be the situation) which is not covered by the issue of enthusiasm bearing obligation, however by the expansion in the money related base i.e. of the irredeemable at non-enthusiasm bearing money related liabilities of the government or national bank". (Turner, 2015)

Helicopter Money?

While "helicopter money" has for a considerable length of time been viewed as only a scholarly psychological study, some analysts presently consider it to be a conceivable final retreat for fiscal approach. It is now and again additionally called fiscal financing, suggesting simple money related finance to the government deficits. In request to survey the expenses and advantages of "helicopter money", it is imperative to begin from a milestone, which is put forward by Friedman's in his work regarding the matter. Later, it will turn out to be evident that advocates of helicopter money positively need extra model fixings past the Friedman-type helicopter and various presumptions on the situation of the economy (disequilibrium rather than harmony as a beginning stage) so as to show that helicopter money can affect the genuine economy.

In his renowned paper "The Optimum Quantity of Money", Friedman (1969) concocted a representing illustration to characterize his thought of "helicopter money". He begins with the impact of a for the last time change in the ostensible amount of cash, something he calls "Bonanza from heaven". Let us assume that one day a helicopter will fly over this network and drops an extra \$1,000 in notes from the sky which is, obviously, quickly gathered by individuals from this network". Also, he includes: "Let us guess further that everybody is persuaded this is a special occasion which will never be rehashed"

"In any case, expect further that each individual ends up getting proportionate money identical to the aggregate he held beforehand, so every individual winds up with twofold the cash changes he held already". "In case every individual just decided to grasp the additional cash, no change in the economy would happen. Yet, this isn't the way where people continue. Nothing has occurred to make the holding of cash more charming than it was beforehand, given our speculation that everyone is convinced that the helicopter wonder will not be repeated"

Basically, Helicopter Money implies expansion of non-repayable cash move from the national bank to the state and local governments, to inject liquidity in the framework. The arrangement targets placing more cash into the pockets of

individuals to poke them to go through more cash and thus get monetary action in the country.

The immediate effect of Helicopter Money is ascended in expendable wages of individuals, expansion in cash supply with an aim to support interest and swelling in the economy.

Helicopter Money to its Alternatives

Quantitative Easing

Quantitative Easing is the approach of giving base cash to back enormous scope buys of monetary resources. Quantitative Easing is intended to help the financial framework what's more, to decrease financing costs. Installments are commonly to monetary foundations what's more, not straightforwardly to individuals from the general population.

Debt Monetization

Debt Monetization happens when the Treasury gives a bond that it offers to the national bank, which thus pays for the bond with recently given base cash. The bond is then utilized by the Treasury to make installments in compatibility of government monetary approach destinations.

Helicopter Money modus operandi

1. Helicopter drop (Friedman's operation type)
2. Direct cash handouts to the public.
3. Sending Cheques to public.
4. Direct payment to bank accounts of public.
5. Cancellation of Taxes.

Reviews

Blyth and Lonergan (2014) contend that an immediate exchange to people in general is accepted to not only, increase spending yet in addition diminish disparity. Higher exchanges to the last 80% of pay circulation can lessen imbalance. Consequently, printing of cash will undoubtedly be viable.

(Blyth and Lonergan, 2014). The Berkeley Economist, Bradford DeLong (2016) states that an all-inclusive time of discouraged development should prompt the execution of helicopter cash and henceforth giving additional money to the general population. Despite the fact that the helicopter cash banter has drawn in numerous eyeballs, without a doubt, it accompanies its own arrangement of difficulties.

(2014) came up with the view, adding that the institutional separation among financial and money related policy' is one motivation behind why the economies can't discover an exit from the liquidity trap. Buiter (2014), in his examination explains that, collaboration and simulation between the Central Bank and the currency chest is needed for this present reality execution of helicopter cash. The political situations and the requirement for an institutional system hold up traffic of effective execution of helicopter cash.

Buiter (2014) upholds the execution of the helicopter drop of cash and fosters a scientific structure to demonstrate that financial and monetary approach

coordination will consistently battle collapse. He contends that a lasting expansion in the financial base will undoubtedly expand utilization given that base cash is irredeemable, there are profits by holding it and the cost of cash is positive. He affirms that the irredeemability of base cash is the most urgent suspicion for the applicability of the helicopter drop.

Eggertsson, Gauti and Woodford (2003) in their work said that, single direction to make financial approach compelling at the zero level bound is by dealing with the assumptions regarding the future strategy lead of the national bank. Not just that, the national bank should solidly focus on what they expect to embrace later on and story activities to execute it

Auerbach and Obstfeld (2004) affirm that, if the money related base is additionally expanded it will unquestionably improve the government in assisting economy of the country. It will help battle flattening and decrease the weight of obligation in the economy. Likewise the strategy will decidedly affect the yield in a Keynesian economy, one with ostensible rigidities

Simon Wren Lewis (2015) contends that the helicopter drop is viewed as an untouchable predominantly in light of the fact that the national bank doesn't get a resource as a trade-off for the cash it makes and on the grounds that political additions may supersede financial concerns whenever cash is made. To put it plainly, if the public authority and the financial authority don't participate the helicopter drop may prompt uncontrolled swelling and consequently end up being an expense instead of advantage

Helicopter money, as imagined by the ideas portrayed in this commitment, forces a hefty cost. Pretty much, it infers abandoning financial approach circumspection for eternity. "When the models are supplemented with a sensible loan cost setting component, a cash financed monetary program turns out to be more expansionary than an obligation financed program just if the national banks soundly focuses on setting strategy at zero for the last time. Least, these models would recommend a somewhat restricted extra expansionary effect of money related financing. Future examination could accordingly endeavor to distinguish strategies that may convey a similar impact as helicopter cash, yet that would have the option to save the customary partition among financial and monetary strategy. 'Woodford' contends that an economy could accomplish a comparable impact as helicopter money through a debt-financed financial exchange, joined with a responsibility by the national bank to an ostensible GDP target way. The ideal prescience balance would be the very same for this situation, yet this arrangement option would not include the national bank in making moves to private gatherings.

Helicopter money even though discussed worldwide in a verity of modes and mediums to be implemented for creating a change in the economy, it's still a concept under confusion. As per the studies and reviews around we can say that it has a lesser possibility of helping in Quantitative Easing, both are different in concept and terminology of consideration. No economy in the world is fully confident about its effect in the present and future.

CONCLUSION

We can assume that the reception of the helicopter money as a financial approach device relies upon the economy of the country and the implementation of it inside

the economic structure whether it will undoubtedly influence utilization under any conditions. The "execution" of this financial arrangement apparatus helicopter money presents different difficulties. The coordination between the money related and the monetary strategy is a need for its execution while in reality the two specialists participate in a situation of "who gets the main overall advantage?" Accordingly, the execution becomes political and how these political economy setting is handled in executing the helicopter money decides if it creates the ideal impacts of higher ostensible interest, or it prompts wild expansion and damage the freedom of the money related position. As said, under the financial predominance of rule-based guidelines where public consumption pressure as opposed to burden lightness decides the financial combination way, a re-investigate the financing design (not only the levels of deficits) of monetary projects and a whimsical money related arrangement apparatus like helicopter cash is invigorating.

Helicopter money ought to be viewed as a two-sided deal. From one viewpoint, it could – as every now and again underscored by 'Tom Mayer' - easily empower a turnaround from our present credit cash framework to another cash framework, in which cash is not, at this point made as private obligation however as a resource which is supported by the guarantor's standing. Then again, helicopter cash could cause a deficiency of trust in the current cash and accordingly, at long last, to a cash emergency, in the event that it is carried out by expansion focusing on national banks to push inflation higher.

Helicopter money, as illustrated according to the concepts states the contribution, imposes a heavy price. More or less, it implies giving up on monetary policy forever. Future research could hence endeavor to recognize approaches that may convey a similar impact as helicopter cash, yet that would have the option to safeguard the customary division among financial and monetary strategy.

REFERENCES

- Auerbach and Obstfeld (2004) 'The Case for Open-Market Purchases in a Liquidity Trap', University of California, Berkeley, December 2004
- Buiter, W. (2014) 'Helicopter Money-Irredeemable fiat money and the liquidity trap Or: is money net wealth after all?', NBER, January 1, 2004
- Bernanke, B. (2016) 'What tools does the Fed have left? Part 3: Helicopter money', Brookings, 11 April, 2016.
- Blyth, M and E. Lonergan (2014) 'Print Less but Transfer More. Why Central Banks Should Give Money Directly to the People', Foreign Affairs, September/October
- Buiter, W. (2014) 'The Simple Analytics of Helicopter Money: Why It Works – Always', Economics, Vol. 8, 2014-28, August 21, 2014
- Buiter, W. (2007) 'Seigniorage', Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment EJournal 1(2007-10), 10.5018/economics-ejournal.ja.2007-10
- Turner, A. (2015) 'The Case for Monetary Finance- An Essentially Political Issue', 16th Jacques Polak Annual Research Conference, International Monetary Fund, November 5- 6, 2015.
- Gali, J. (2014) 'The Effects of a Money Financed Fiscal Stimulus', CREI, 2014[Retrieved from]. Josh RyanCollins 'Is Monetary Financing Inflationary?- A Case Study of the Canadian Economy, 1935–75', Levy Economics Institute of

Bard College, October 2015.

- Reichlin, L., Turner, A., Woodford, M. (2013) ‘Helicopter money as a policy option’, *vox-eu* 20 May 2013.
- Turner, A. (2016) ‘Adair Turner in defense of Helicopter Money’, *World Economic Forum*, 11 May 2016
- Wren-Lewis, S. (2016) ‘Ben Bernanke and Democratic Helicopter Money’, *Mainly Macro*, 4 May 2016.
- Wren-Lewis, S. (2015) ‘When central bank losses matter’, *Mainly Macro*, 20 January 2015
- Agarwal, S., and W. Qian. 2014. “Consumption and Debt Response to Unanticipated Income Shocks: Evidence from A Natural Experiment in Singapore.” *The American Economic Review*
- Alessie, R., S. Hochguertel, and A. van Soest. 2002. “Household Portfolios in the Netherlands.” In *Household Portfolios*, edited by L. Guiso, M. Haliassos, and T. Jappelli,
- Armantier, O., W. Bruine de Bruin, G. Topa, W. van der Klaauw, and B. Zafar. 2015. “Inflation Expectations and Behavior: Do Survey Respondents Act on Their Beliefs?” *International Economic Review*
- Aron, J., J. Duca, J. Muellbauer, K. Murata, and A. Murphy. 2012. “Credit, Housing Collateral and Consumption in the UK, U.S., And Japan.” *Review of Income and Wealth* 58
- Barsky, R., F. Juster, M. Kimball, and M. Shapiro. 1997. “Preference Parameters and Behavioural Heterogeneity: An Experimental Approach in the Health and Retirement Study.” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*
- Bernanke, B. 2002. *Deflation: Making Sure ‘It’ Doesn’t Happen Here*. Washington, D.C.: Remarks before the National Economists Club. November 21
- Bernanke, B. 2016. *What Tools Does the Fed Have Left? Part 3: Helicopter Money*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution.
- Blinder, A., M. Ehrmann, J. de Haan, and D. Jansen. 2017. “Necessity as the Mother of Invention: Monetary Policy after the Crisis.” *Economic Policy*
- Bright, I., and S. Janssen. 2017. “Helicopter Money: Loved, Not Spent.” *VoxEU*.
- Blinder, A., M. Ehrmann, M. Fratzscher, J. de Haan, and D. Jansen. 2008. “Central Bank Communication and Monetary Policy: A Survey of Theory and Evidence.” *Journal of Economic Literature*
- Borio, C., P. Disyatat, and A. Zabai. 2016. “Helicopter Money: The Illusion of a Free Lunch.” *VoxEU*.
- Milton Friedman, “The Optimum Quantity of Money” 1969
- Blyth and Lonergan (2014), *The Berkeley Economist*, Bradford DeLong,
- Eggertsson, Gauti and Woodford (2003), *Optimal Monetary policy in liquidity trap*.
- Auerbach and Obstfeld (2004), *Monetary and fiscal remedies for deflation*
- Simon Wren Lewis (2015), *The Macroeconomic Record of the Coalition Government*.